

# Extension of Uniformly Continuous Functions

Note Title

8/9/2015

## Theorem 8.16

Let  $D$  be a dense subset of a metric space  $(M, d)$ , let  $(N, \rho)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $f: D \rightarrow N$  be uniformly continuous. Then  $f$  extends uniquely to a uniformly continuous map  $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow N$ , defined on all of  $M$ . Moreover,

If  $f$  is an isometry, then so is the extension  $F$ .

### Proof

Let's start with uniqueness. Suppose  $F$  and  $G$  are two such extensions but that for some  $x \in M$   $F(x) \neq G(x)$ . But  $D$  is dense in  $M$ , so there exists a sequence  $(x_n) \subset D$  so that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $M$ . Since  $F = G = f$  on

$D$ , we have

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$$

and likewise

$$G(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n),$$

$$\text{so } F(x) = G(x).$$

For existence, we construct  $F: M \rightarrow N$  as follows:

given  $x \in M$  we know by density that there

is a sequence  $(x_n) \subset D$  so that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $M$ .

Since  $(x_n)$  converges it must be Cauchy in  $D$

and since  $f$  is uniformly continuous this

means  $(f(x_n)) \subset N$  is Cauchy in  $N$ . But

$N$  is complete, so  $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} y$  for some

$y \in N$ . We define  $F(x) = y$ ; i.e. we

carry out this process for each  $x \in M$ .

We need to verify that the function  $F$  defined

this way is well-defined. I.e., we need to show that  $F(x)$  cannot take multiple values.

For this, suppose  $(x_n)$  and  $(z_n)$  are two sequences in  $D$ , both going to  $x \in M$ .

The sequence  $x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, \dots$  also converges to  $x$ , and so by the same considerations as above the sequence  $f(x_1), f(z_1), f(x_2), \dots$  must converge to some  $w \in N$ . Every subsequence

of a converging series has to converge to the same value, so we must have that  $w$  is the value obtained from both  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$ , so  $F(x)$  is well-defined.

Next, let's check that  $F$  is uniformly continuous on  $M$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $\delta > 0$  small enough so that

$$d(x'; y') < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x'), f(y')) < \epsilon$$

for all  $x', y' \in D$  (possible, because  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $D$ ). Also, notice that

given any  $x \in M$  there is  $x' \in D$  so that

$$d(x, x') < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

(by density) and  $\rho(F(x), f(x')) < \varepsilon$

(because  $f(x') \rightarrow F(x)$  for some sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $D$ ).

Now take any  $x, y \in M$  with  $d(x, y) < \frac{\delta}{3}$ ,

and choose  $x', y' \in D$  so that

$$d(x, x') < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

$$d(y, y') < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

$$\rho(F(x), f(x')) < \epsilon$$

$$\rho(F(y), f(y')) < \epsilon.$$

We have

$$d(x', y') \leq d(x', x) + d(x, y')$$

$$\leq d(x', x) + d(x, y) + d(y, y') < \delta.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(F(x), F(y)) &\leq \rho(F(x), f(x')) + \rho(f(x'), f(y')) \\ &\quad + \rho(f(y'), F(y)) \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

In total, we have

$$d(x, y) < \frac{\delta}{3} \Rightarrow \rho(F(x), F(y)) < 3\varepsilon,$$

but this implies uniform continuity, because  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary.

Finally, for the isometry take any  $x, y \in M$   
 with associated  $(x_n), (y_n) \subset D$  so that

$x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $M$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $M$ .

Then

$$d(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f(x_n), f(y_n))$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pr. 3.34} \\ \text{because } f \\ \text{is an isometry} \\ \text{on } D \end{array} \right.$ 
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right.$ 
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} = \rho(F(x), F(y)) \\ \text{Pr. 3.34} \end{array} \right.$ 
□