

# A Second Proof that $\text{card}(\Delta) = \mathfrak{c}$

Note Title

6/14/2015

## Corollary 2.16

The Cantor set is equivalent to  $[0, 1]$ .

### Proof

We've seen that the Cantor function  $f: \Delta \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is onto, and also that it's non-decreasing:

$$x < y \implies f(x) \leq f(y).$$

The one slight problem we have with the Cantor function is that it's not 1-1. However, we'll check in Problem 2.26 that if  $x < y$  then  $f(x) = f(y)$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are endpoints of a discarded middle third. Recall that these endpoints are easy to

enumerate:  $0, 1, \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}_{\text{step 1}}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9}}_{\text{step 2}}, \dots$

So this set of values is countable.

Define a new function  $g$  that is the same as the Cantor function, except that we define it on the domain obtained by removing from  $\Delta$  the right endpoints  $\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{8}{9}, \dots$  (not including 1, which was not obtained by deleting a middle third). Let's denote this new domain  $\tilde{\Delta}$ .

We see that  $g: \hat{I} \rightarrow [0,1]$  is 1-1 and onto, so  $\hat{I}$  and  $[0,1]$  are equivalent.

Finally, since we've only taken a countable set away from  $I$  to get  $\hat{I}$  we know from Problem 2.17 that  $I$  and  $\hat{I}$  are equivalent. We conclude then that  $I$  must be equivalent to  $[0,1]$ , because  $\hat{I}$  is.