

Connectedness

Note Title

7/19/2015

The set $(0, 1)$ is clearly one piece, while the set $(0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is not, because there's a "hole" at $x = \frac{1}{2}$. We will say $(0, 1)$ is connected and $(0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is disconnected.

As a starting point for this discussion, we'll show that an interval $[a, b]$ cannot be expressed

as the union of two disjoint, non-empty, relatively open sets (open relative to $[a,b]$; recall that $[a,b]$ itself is open relative to $[a,b]$). Ultimately, we will express connectedness in terms of whether or not we can express a set in this way.

We'll argue by contradiction, assuming we can write $[a,b] = A \cup B$, where A and B are

disjoint, non-empty, relatively open subsets of $[a, b]$. The point b must be in one of the sets, and let's assume $b \in B$. Since B is open this means $(b - \varepsilon, b] \subset B$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Set $c = \sup A$, and notice that $c \leq b - \varepsilon$. Also, notice that A cannot only contain $\{c\}$ (because it's open), so we must $c > a \Rightarrow a < c < b$.

We must have $(c - \varepsilon, c) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $(c, c + \varepsilon) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 4.10 this means $c \in \bar{A}$ and $c \in \bar{B}$, so $c \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$. But $A = [a, b] \setminus B$ and $B = [a, b] \setminus A$, and since A and B are both open, we see that A and B are both closed. Then $c \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = A \cap B$, and this is a contradiction to the assumption

that A and B are disjoint.