

Prop. 1 Let  $u \in \text{dom}(L)$ , Then,

$$D(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j^2 \lambda_j, \quad D(u) = \int_0^1 (p(x) u'(x))^2 dx + p(1)\sigma(1)u(1)^2 + p(0)\sigma(0)u(0)^2$$

Proof: Use remark 3.

### 2. Courant-Fisher for S-L problems.

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{n-1}$  be eigenfunc. of  $Lu = \lambda u$  corresp. to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$  ( $\lambda_k \uparrow$ )

Prop. 2  $\min_{u \perp \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}\}, u \in \text{admissible}} D(u) = \lambda_n$ .

Proof: If  $u \perp \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}\}$ , then by our previous results,

$$D(u) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \lambda_j \alpha_j^2, \quad \alpha_j = \langle u, \phi_j \rangle, \quad \sum \alpha_j^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \underset{\substack{\text{min} \\ \text{eigenvalue}}}{D(u)} \geq \lambda_n \left( \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha_j^2 \right) = \lambda_n. \quad H(u) = 1$$

But:  $D(\phi_n) = \lambda_n$ . Thus, the result holds.

Let  $\mathcal{V}_n = \text{span} \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}\}$ , where  $\phi_j \in C^2[0,1]$ . Define

$$d(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) = \min_{\substack{u \in \text{ad.}, H(u) = 1 \\ u \perp \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}\}}} D(u)$$

Courant-Fisher,  $\lambda_n = \max_{\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}\}} d(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$ .

Proof: By Prop. 2,  $\lambda_n = \min_{\substack{u \perp \{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \} \\ u \text{ admissible}}} D(u)$ , Thus,

we have  $\max_{\{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \}} d(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) \geq d(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) = \lambda_n$ .

Now, we repeat the proof of C-F for matrices: expand the  $\psi_j$ 's in the  $\phi_k$  basis,

$$\psi_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\langle \psi_j, \phi_k \rangle}_{\beta_{jk}} \phi_k,$$

also  $u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \phi_k$ ,  $u$  is a  $u$  is admissible.

Then,  $u \perp \{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \} \Rightarrow \langle u, \psi_j \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} \alpha_k = 0, j=1, \dots, n-1.$

Now, choose  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_{n+2} = \dots = 0$ , Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{jk} \alpha_k = 0 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n-1 \text{ eqns. in } n \text{ var.} \\ j=1, \dots, n-1 \end{array} \right.$$

~~⇒~~ ⇒ There is a nontriv. soln. for the  $\alpha$ 's.

Also,  $D(u) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2 d_k \leq \underbrace{\left( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2 \right)}_1 \lambda_n \quad (d_k \leq \lambda_n)$

∴  $D(u) \leq \lambda_n$ .

⇒  ~~$\min d(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) \leq \lambda_n$~~

$d(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) = \min_{u \perp \{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \}} D(u) \leq \lambda_n$

⇒ ~~max~~  $\max d(\dots) \leq \lambda_n$  By prev. prop, result holds.