



William B. Johnson · Gideon Schechtman

Multiplication operators on $L(L_p)$ and ℓ_p -strictly singular operators

Received July 30, 2007 and in revised form September 21, 2007

Abstract. A classification of weakly compact multiplication operators on $L(L_p)$, $1 < p < \infty$, is given. This answers a question raised by Saksman and Tylli in 1992. The classification involves the concept of ℓ_p -strictly singular operators, and we also investigate the structure of general ℓ_p -strictly singular operators on L_p . The main result is that if an operator T on L_p , $1 < p < 2$, is ℓ_p -strictly singular and $T|_X$ is an isomorphism for some subspace X of L_p , then X embeds into L_r for all $r < 2$, but X need not be isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

It is also shown that if T is convolution by a biased coin on L_p of the Cantor group, $1 \leq p < 2$, and $T|_X$ is an isomorphism for some reflexive subspace X of L_p , then X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. The case $p = 1$ answers a question asked by Rosenthal in 1976.

Keywords. Elementary operators, multiplication operators, strictly singular operators, L_p spaces, biased coin

1. Introduction

Given (always bounded, linear) operators A, B on a Banach space X , define L_A, R_B on $L(X)$ (the space of bounded linear operators on X) by $L_A T = AT$, $R_B T = TB$. Operators of the form $L_A R_B$ on $L(X)$ are called *multiplication operators*. The beginning point of this paper is a problem raised in 1992 by E. Saksman and H.-O. Tylli [ST1] (see also [ST2, Problem 2.8]):

Characterize the multiplication operators on $L(L_p)$, $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$, which are weakly compact.

Here L_p is $L_p(0, 1)$ or, equivalently, $L_p(\mu)$ for any purely non-atomic separable probability μ .

In Theorem 1 we answer the Saksman–Tylli question. The characterization is rather simple but gives rise to questions about operators on L_p , some of which were asked by Tylli. First we set some terminology. Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and a Banach space Z , say that T is *Z-strictly singular* provided there is no subspace Z_0 of X which

W. B. Johnson: Department Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA; e-mail: johnson@math.tamu.edu

G. Schechtman: Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel; e-mail: gideon@weizmann.ac.il

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 46B20, 46E30

is isomorphic to Z for which $T|_{Z_0}$ is an isomorphism. An operator $S : Z \rightarrow W$ factors through an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ provided there are operators $A : Z \rightarrow X$ and $B : Y \rightarrow W$ so that $S = BTA$. If S factors through the identity operator on X , we say that S factors through X .

If T is an operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, then T is ℓ_p -strictly singular (respectively, ℓ_2 -strictly singular) if and only if I_{ℓ_p} (respectively, I_{ℓ_2}) does not factor through T . This is true because every subspace of L_p which is isomorphic to ℓ_p (respectively, ℓ_2) has a subspace which is still isomorphic to ℓ_p (respectively, ℓ_2) and is complemented in L_p . Actually, a stronger fact is true: if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in L_p which is equivalent to the unit vector basis for either ℓ_p or ℓ_2 , then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which spans a complemented subspace of L_p . For $p > 2$, an even stronger theorem was proved by Kadec–Pełczyński [KP]. When $1 < p < 2$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in L_p which is equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_2 , one takes $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L_{p'}$ (where $p' = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate index to p) which are uniformly bounded and biorthogonal to $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. By passing to a subsequence which is weakly convergent and subtracting the limit from each y_n , one may assume that $y_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly and hence, by the Kadec–Pełczyński dichotomy [KP], has a subsequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 (since it is clearly impossible that $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of $\ell_{p'}$). This implies that the corresponding subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ spans a complemented subspace of L_p . (Pełczyński showed this argument, or something similar, to one of the authors many years ago, and a closely related result was proved in [PR].) Finally, when $1 < p < 2$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in L_p which is equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_p , see the comments after the statement of Lemma 1.

Notice that the comments in the preceding paragraph imply that an operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is ℓ_p -strictly singular (respectively, ℓ_2 -strictly singular) if and only if T^* is $\ell_{p'}$ -strictly singular (respectively, ℓ_2 -strictly singular). Better known is that an operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is strictly singular if it is both ℓ_p -strictly singular and ℓ_2 -strictly singular (and hence T is strictly singular if and only if T^* is strictly singular). For $p > 2$ this is immediate from [KP], and Lutz Weis [We] proved the case $p < 2$.

Although Saksman and Tylli did not know a complete characterization of the weakly compact multiplication operators on $L(L_p)$, they realized that a classification must involve ℓ_p - and ℓ_2 -strictly singular operators on L_p . This led Tylli to ask us about possible classifications of the ℓ_p - and ℓ_2 -strictly singular operators on L_p . The ℓ_2 case is known. It is enough to consider the case $2 < p < \infty$. If T is an operator on L_p , $2 < p < \infty$, and T is ℓ_2 -strictly singular, then it is an easy consequence of the Kadec–Pełczyński dichotomy that $I_{p,2}T$ is compact, where $I_{p,r}$ is the identity mapping from L_p into L_r . But then by [Jo], T factors through ℓ_p . Tylli then asked whether the following conjecture is true:

Tylli Conjecture. If T is an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, then T is in the closure (in the operator norm) of the operators on L_p that factor through ℓ_2 . (It is clear that the closure is needed because not all compact operators on L_p , $p \neq 2$, factor through ℓ_2 .)

We then formulated a weaker conjecture:

Weak Tylli Conjecture. If T is an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, and $J : L_p \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is an isometric embedding, then JT is in the closure of the operators from L_p into ℓ_∞ that factor through ℓ_2 .

It is of course evident that an operator on L_p , $p \neq 2$, that satisfies the conclusion of the Weak Tylli Conjecture must be ℓ_p -strictly singular. There is a slight subtlety in these conjectures: while the Tylli Conjecture for p is equivalent to the Tylli Conjecture for p' , it is not at all clear and is even false that the Weak Tylli Conjecture for p is equivalent to the Weak Tylli Conjecture for p' . In fact, we observe in Lemma 2 (it is simple) that for $p > 2$ the Weak Tylli Conjecture is true, while the example in Section 4 shows that the Tylli Conjecture is false for all $p \neq 2$ and the Weak Tylli Conjecture is false for $p < 2$.

There are however some interesting consequences of the Weak Tylli Conjecture that are true when $p < 2$. In Theorem 4 we prove that if T is an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $1 < p < 2$, then T is ℓ_r -strictly singular for all $p < r < 2$. In view of theorems of Aldous [Al] (see also [KM]) and Rosenthal [Ro3], this proves that if such a T is an isomorphism on a subspace Z of L_p , then Z embeds into L_r for all $r < 2$. The Weak Tylli Conjecture would imply that Z is isomorphic to ℓ_2 , but the example in Section 4 shows that this need not be true. When we discovered Theorem 4, we thought its proof bizarre and assumed that a more straightforward argument would yield a stronger theorem. The example suggests that in fact the proof may be “natural”.

In Section 5 we discuss convolution by a biased coin on L_p of the Cantor group, $1 \leq p < 2$. We prove that if $T|_X$ is an isomorphism for some reflexive subspace X of L_p , $1 \leq p < 2$, then X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. This answers an old question of H. P. Rosenthal [Ro4].

The standard Banach space theory terminology and background we use can be found in [LT].

2. Weakly compact multiplication operators on $L(L_p)$

We use freely the result [ST2, Proposition 2.5] that if A, B are in $L(X)$ where X is a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property, then the multiplication operator $L_A R_B$ on $L(X)$ is weakly compact if and only if for every T in $L(X)$, the operator ATB is compact. For completeness, in Section 6 we give another proof of this under the weaker assumption that X is reflexive and has the compact approximation property. This theorem implies that for such an X , $L_A R_B$ is weakly compact on $L(X)$ if and only if $L_{B^*} R_{A^*}$ is a weakly compact operator on $L(X^*)$. Consequently, to classify weakly compact multiplication operators on $L(L_p)$, $1 < p < \infty$, it is enough to consider the case $p > 2$. For $p \leq r$ we denote the identity operator from ℓ_p into ℓ_r by $i_{p,r}$. It is immediate from [KP] that an operator T on L_p , $2 < p < \infty$, is compact if and only if $i_{2,p}$ does not factor through T .

Theorem 1. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and let A, B be bounded linear operators on L_p . Then the multiplication operator $L_A R_B$ on $L(L_p)$ is weakly compact if and only if one of the following (mutually exclusive) conditions hold:*

- (a) $i_{2,p}$ does not factor through A (i.e., A is compact).
- (b) $i_{2,p}$ factors through A but $i_{p,p}$ does not factor through A (i.e., A is ℓ_p -strictly singular) and $i_{2,2}$ does not factor through B (i.e., B is ℓ_2 -strictly singular).
- (c) $i_{p,p}$ factors through A but $i_{2,p}$ does not factor through B (i.e., B is compact).

Proof. The proof is a straightforward application of the Kadec–Pełczyński dichotomy principle [KP]: if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a semi-normalized (i.e., bounded and bounded away from zero) weakly null sequence in L_p , $2 < p < \infty$, then there is a subsequence which is equivalent to either the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or of ℓ_2 and spans a complemented subspace of L_p . Notice that this immediately implies the “i.e.’s” in the statement of the theorem so that (a) and (c) imply that $L_A R_B$ is weakly compact. Now assume that (b) holds and let T be in $L(L_p)$. If ATB is not compact, then there is a normalized weakly null sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in L_p so that $ATBx_n$ is bounded away from zero. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to either the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or of ℓ_2 . If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p , then since TBx_n is bounded away from zero, we can assume by passing to another subsequence that also TBx_n is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p , and similarly for $ATBx_n$, which contradicts the assumption that A is ℓ_p -strictly singular. On the other hand, if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 , then since B is ℓ_2 -strictly singular we can assume by passing to a subsequence that Bx_n is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p and continue as in the previous case to get a contradiction.

Now suppose that (a), (b), and (c) are all false. If $i_{p,p}$ factors through A and $i_{2,p}$ factors through B then there is sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 or of ℓ_p so that Bx_n is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 or of ℓ_p (of course, only three of the four cases are possible) and Bx_n spans a complemented subspace of L_p . Moreover, there is a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in L_p so that both y_n and Ay_n are equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . Since Bx_n spans a complemented subspace of L_p , the mapping $Bx_n \mapsto y_n$ extends to a bounded linear operator T on L_p and ATB is not compact. Finally, suppose that $i_{2,p}$ factors through A but $i_{p,p}$ does not factor through A and $i_{2,2}$ factors through B . Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ so that x_n and Bx_n are both equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 and Bx_n spans a complemented subspace of L_p . There is also a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 so that Ay_n is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 or of ℓ_p . The mapping $Bx_n \mapsto y_n$ extends to a bounded linear operator T on L_p and ATB is not compact. \square

It is perhaps worthwhile to restate Theorem 1 in a way that the cases where $L_A R_B$ is weakly compact are not mutually exclusive.

Theorem 2. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and let A, B be bounded linear operators on L_p . Then the multiplication operator $L_A R_B$ on $L(L_p)$ is weakly compact if and only if one of the following conditions hold:*

- (a) A is compact.
- (b) A is ℓ_p -strictly singular and B is ℓ_2 -strictly singular.
- (c) B is compact.

3. ℓ_p -strictly singular operators on L_p

We recall the well known

Lemma 1. *Let W be a bounded convex symmetric subset of L_p , $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *No sequence in W equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_p spans a complemented subspace of L_p .*
- (2) *For every C there exists n so that no length n sequence in W is C -equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p^n .*
- (3) *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is M_ε so that $W \subset \varepsilon B_{L_p} + M_\varepsilon B_{L_\infty}$.*
- (4) *$|W|^p$ is uniformly integrable, i.e., $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in W} \sup_{\mu(E) < t} \|\mathbf{1}_E x\|_p = 0$.*

When $p = 1$, the assumptions that W is convex and symmetric are not needed, and the conditions in Lemma 1 are equivalent to the non-weak-compactness of the weak closure of W . This case is essentially proved in [KP] and proofs can also be found in books; see, e.g., [Wo, Theorem 3.C.12]. (Condition (3) does not appear in [Wo], but it is easy to check the equivalence of (3) and (4). Also, in the proof in [Wo, Theorem 3.C.12] that not (4) implies not (1), Wojtaszczyk only constructs a basic sequence in W that is equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_1 ; however, it is clear that the constructed basic sequence spans a complemented subspace.)

For $p > 2$, Lemma 1 and stronger versions of condition (1) can be deduced from [KP]. For $1 < p < 2$, one needs to modify slightly the proof in [Wo] for the case $p = 1$. The only essential modification comes in the proof that not (4) implies not (1), and this is where it is needed that W is convex and symmetric. Just as in [Wo], one shows that not (4) implies that there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in W and a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of disjoint measurable sets so that $\inf \|1_{E_n} x_n\|_p > 0$. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to, say, x . Suppose first that $x = 0$. Then by passing to a further subsequence, we may assume that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a small perturbation of a block basis of the Haar basis for L_p and hence is an unconditionally basic sequence. Since L_p has type p , this implies that there is a constant C so that for all sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of scalars, $\|\sum a_n x_n\|_p \leq C(\sum |a_n|^p)^{1/p}$. Let P be the norm one projection from L_p onto the closed linear span Y of the disjoint sequence $\{1_{E_n} x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Then Px_n is weakly null in a space isometric to ℓ_p , and $\|Px_n\|_p$ is bounded away from zero, so there is a subsequence $\{Px_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which is equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_p and whose closed span is the range of a projection Q from Y . The projection QP from L_p onto the the closed span of $\{Px_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ maps $x_{n(k)}$ to $Px_{n(k)}$, and because of the upper p estimate on $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$, maps the closed span of $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ isomorphically onto the closed span of $\{Px_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$. This implies that $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_p and spans a complemented subspace. Suppose now that the weak limit x of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not zero. Choose a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ so that $\inf \|1_{E_{n(2k+1)}}(x_{n(2k)} - x_{n(2k+1)})\|_p > 0$ and replace $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\{(x_{n(2k)} - x_{n(2k+1)})/2\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in the argument above.

Notice that the argument outlined above gives that if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in L_p , $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$, which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p , then there is a

subsequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ whose closed linear span in L_p is complemented. This is how one proves that the identity on ℓ_p factors through any operator on L_p which is not ℓ_p -strictly singular.

The Weak Tylli Conjecture for $p > 2$ is an easy consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let T be an operator from an \mathcal{L}_1 space V into L_p , $1 < p < 2$, so that $W := TB_V$ satisfies condition (1) in Lemma 1. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator $S : V \rightarrow L_2$ so that $\|T - I_{2,p}S\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By condition (3) in Lemma 1, for each norm one vector x in V there is a vector Ux in L_2 with $\|Ux\|_2 \leq \|Ux\|_\infty \leq M_\varepsilon$ and $\|Tx - Ux\|_p \leq \varepsilon$. By the definition of \mathcal{L}_1 space, we can write V as a directed union $\bigcup_\alpha E_\alpha$ of finite-dimensional spaces that are uniformly isomorphic to $\ell_1^{n_\alpha}$, $n_\alpha = \dim E_\alpha$, and let $(x_i^\alpha)_{i=1}^{n_\alpha}$ be norm one vectors in E_α which are, say, λ -equivalent to the unit vector basis for $\ell_1^{n_\alpha}$ with λ independent of α . Let U_α be the linear extension to E_α of the mapping $x_i^\alpha \mapsto Ux_i^\alpha$, considered as an operator into L_2 . Then $\|T|_{E_\alpha} - I_{2,p}U_\alpha\| \leq \lambda\varepsilon$ and $\|U_\alpha\| \leq \lambda M_\varepsilon$. A standard Lindenstrauss compactness argument produces an operator $S : V \rightarrow L_2$ so that $\|S\| \leq \lambda M_\varepsilon$ and $\|T - I_{2,p}S\| \leq \lambda\varepsilon$. Indeed, extend U_α to all of V by letting $U_\alpha x = 0$ if $x \notin E_\alpha$. The net T_α has a subnet S_β so that for each x in V , $S_\beta x$ converges weakly in L_2 ; call the limit Sx . It is easy to check that S has the properties claimed. \square

Theorem 3. *Let T be an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $2 < p < \infty$, and let J be an isometric embedding of L_p into an injective Z . Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator $S : L_p \rightarrow Z$ so that S factors through ℓ_2 and $\|JT - S\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Lemma 2 gives the conclusion when J is the adjoint of a quotient mapping from ℓ_1 or L_1 onto L_p . The general case then follows from the injectivity of Z . \square

The next proposition, when souped up via “abstract nonsense” and known results, gives our main result about ℓ_p -strictly singular operators on L_p . Note that it shows that an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $1 < p < 2$, cannot be the identity on the span of a sequence of r -stable independent random variables for any $p < r < 2$. We do not know another way of proving even this special case of our main result.

Proposition 1. *Let T be an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $1 < p < 2$. If X is a subspace of L_p and $T|_X = aI_X$ with $a \neq 0$, then X embeds into L_s for all $s < 2$.*

Proof. By making a change of density, we can by [JJ] assume that T is also a bounded linear operator on L_2 , so assume, without loss of generality, that $\|T\|_p \vee \|T\|_2 = 1$, so that, in particular, $a \leq 1$. Lemma 1 gives for each $\varepsilon > 0$ a constant M_ε so that

$$TB_{L_p} \subset \varepsilon B_{L_p} + M_\varepsilon B_{L_2}. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, otherwise condition (1) in Lemma 1 gives a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in L_p so that $\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . By passing to a subsequence of differences of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$

is a small perturbation of a block basis of the Haar basis for L_p and hence is an unconditionally basic sequence. Since L_p has type p , the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has an upper p estimate, which means that there is a constant C so that for all sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of scalars, $\|\sum a_n x_n\| \leq C\|(\sum |a_n|^p)^{1/p}\|$. Since $\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p , $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ also has a lower p estimate and hence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . This contradicts the ℓ_p -strict singularity of T .

Iterating this we get, for every n and $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$,

$$a^n B_X \subset T^n B_{L_p} \subset \epsilon^n B_{L_p} + 2M_\epsilon B_{L_2}$$

or, setting $A := 1/a$,

$$B_X \subset A^n \epsilon^n B_{L_p} + 2A^n M_\epsilon B_{L_2}.$$

For f a unit vector in X write $f = f_n + g_n$ with $\|f_n\|_2 \leq 2A^n M_\epsilon$ and $\|g_n\|_p \leq (A\epsilon)^n$. Then $f_{n+1} - f_n = g_n - g_{n+1}$, and since evidently f_n can be chosen to be of the form $(f \vee -k_n) \wedge k_n$ (with appropriate interpretation when the set $[f_n = \pm k_n]$ has positive measure), the choice of f_n, g_n can be made so that

$$\|f_{n+1} - f_n\|_2 \leq \|f_{n+1}\|_2 \leq 2M_\epsilon A^{n+1}, \quad \|g_n - g_{n+1}\|_p \leq \|g_n\|_p \leq (A\epsilon)^n.$$

(Alternatively, to avoid thinking, just take any $f = f_n + g_n$ so that $\|f_n\|_2 \leq 2A^n M_\epsilon$ and $\|g_n\|_p \leq (A\epsilon)^n$. Each left side of the two displayed inequalities is less than twice the corresponding right side as long as $A\epsilon \leq 1$.)

For $p < s < 2$ write $1/s = \theta/2 + (1 - \theta)/p$. Then

$$\|f_{n+1} - f_n\|_s \leq \|f_{n+1} - f_n\|_2 \|g_n - g_{n+1}\|_p^{1-\theta} \leq (2M_\epsilon A)^\theta (A\epsilon^{1-\theta})^n,$$

which is summable if $\epsilon^{1-\theta} < 1/A$. But $\|f - f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ so $f = f_1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_{n+1} - f_n$ in L_p and hence also in L_s if $\epsilon^{1-\theta} < 1/A$. So for some constant C_s we conclude for all $f \in X$ that $\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_s \leq C_s \|f\|_p$. \square

We can now prove our main theorem. For background on ultrapowers of Banach spaces, see [DJT, Chapter 8].

Theorem 4. *Let T be an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p , $1 < p < 2$. If X is a subspace of L_p and $T|_X$ is an isomorphism, then X embeds into L_r for all $r < 2$.*

Proof. In view of Rosenthal’s theorem [Ro3], it is enough to prove that X has type s for all $s < 2$. By the Krivine–Maurey–Pisier theorem, [Kr] and [MP] (or, alternatively, Aldous’ theorem, [Al] or [KM]), we only need to check that for $p < s < 2$, X does not contain almost isometric copies of ℓ_s^n for all n . (To apply the Krivine–Maurey–Pisier theorem we use that the second condition in Lemma 1, applied to the unit ball of X , implies that X has type s for some $p < s \leq 2$.) So suppose that for some $p < s < 2$, X contains almost isometric copies of ℓ_s^n for all n . By applying Krivine’s theorem [Kr] we get for each n a sequence $(f_i^n)_{i=1}^n$ of unit vectors in X which is $1 + \epsilon$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_s^n and, for some constant C (which we can take independently of n), the sequence $(CTf_i^n)_{i=1}^n$ is also $1 + \epsilon$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_s^n . By

replacing T by CT , we might as well assume that $C = 1$. Now consider an ultrapower $T_{\mathcal{U}}$, where \mathcal{U} is a free ultrafilter on the natural numbers. The domain and codomain of $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the (abstract) L_p space $(L_p)_{\mathcal{U}}$, and $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is defined by $T_{\mathcal{U}}(f_1, f_2, \dots) = (Tf_1, Tf_2, \dots)$ for any (equivalence class of a) bounded sequence (f_1, f_2, \dots) . It is evident that $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isometry on the ultraproduct of $\text{span} \{f_i^n\}_{i=1}^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and hence $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isometry on a subspace of $(L_p)_{\mathcal{U}}$ which is isometric to ℓ_s . Since condition (2) in Lemma 1 is obviously preserved when taking an ultrapower of a set, we see that $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is ℓ_p -strictly singular. Finally, by restricting $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ to a suitable subspace, we get an ℓ_p -strictly singular operator S on L_p and a subspace Y of L_p so that Y is isometric to ℓ_s and $S|_Y$ is an isometry. By restricting the domain of S , we can assume that Y has full support and the functions in Y generate the Borel sets. It then follows from the Plotkin–Rudin theorem [PI], [Ru] (see [KK, Theorem 1]) that $S|_Y$ extends to an isometry W from L_p into L_p . Since any isometric copy of L_p in L_p is norm one complemented (see [La, §17]), there is a norm one operator $V : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ so that $VW = I_{L_p}$. Then $VS|_Y = I_Y$ and VS is ℓ_p -strictly singular, which contradicts Proposition 1. \square

Remark 1. The ℓ_1 -strictly singular operators on L_1 also form an interesting class. They are the weakly compact operators on L_1 . In terms of factorization, they are just the closure in the operator norm of the integral operators on L_1 (see, e.g., the proof of Lemma 2).

4. The example

Rosenthal [Ro1] proved that if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of three-valued, symmetric, independent random variables, then for all $1 < p < \infty$, the closed span in L_p of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is complemented by means of the orthogonal projection P , and $\|P\|_p$ depends only on p , not on the specific sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Moreover, he showed that if $p > 2$, then for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of symmetric, independent random variables in L_p , $\|\sum x_n\|_p$ is equivalent (with constant depending only on p) to $(\sum \|x_n\|_p^p)^{1/p} \vee (\sum \|x_n\|_2^2)^{1/2}$. Thus if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is normalized in L_p , $p > 2$, and $w_n := \|x_n\|_2$, then $\|\sum a_n x_n\|_p$ is equivalent to $\| \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \|_{p,w} := (\sum |a_n|^p)^{1/p} \vee (\sum |a_n|^2 w_n^2)^{1/2}$. The completion of the finitely nonzero sequences of scalars under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,w}$ is called $X_{p,w}$. It follows that if $w = \{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is any sequence of numbers in $[0, 1]$. Then $X_{p,w}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_p . Suppose now that $w = \{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $v = \{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are two such sequences of weights and $v_n \geq w_n$. Then the diagonal operator D from $X_{p,w}$ to $X_{p,v}$ that sends the n th unit vector basis vector e_n to $(w_n/v_n)e_n$ is contractive, and it is more or less obvious that D is ℓ_p -strictly singular if $w_n/v_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $X_{p,w}$ and $X_{p,v}$ are isomorphic to complemented subspaces of L_p , the adjoint operator D^* is $\ell_{p'}$ -strictly singular and (identifying $X_{p,w}^*$ and $X_{p,v}^*$ with subspaces of $L_{p'}$) extends to an $\ell_{p'}$ -strictly singular operator on $L_{p'}$. Our goal in this section is to produce weights w and v so that D^* is an isomorphism on a subspace of $X_{p,v}^*$ which is not isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

For all $0 < r < 2$ there is a positive constant c_r such that

$$|t|^r = c_r \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos tx}{x^{r+1}} dx$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that for any closed interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$ such that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} \right| \leq \varepsilon$ and

$$\left| c_r \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos tx_j) - |t|^r \right| < \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

for all t with $|t| \in [a, b]$.

Let $0 < q < r < 2$ and define v_j and $a_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$v_j^{2q/(2-q)} = c_r \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}}, \quad \frac{a_j}{v_j^{2/(2-q)}} = x_j.$$

Let $Y_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, be independent, symmetric, three-valued random variables such that $|Y_j| = v_j^{-2/(2-q)} \mathbf{1}_{B_j}$ with $\text{Prob}(B_j) = v_j^{2q/(2-q)}$, so that in particular $\|Y_j\|_q = 1$ and $v_j = \|Y_j\|_q / \|Y_j\|_2$. Then the characteristic function of Y_j is

$$\varphi_{Y_j}(t) = 1 - v_j^{2q/(2-q)} + v_j^{2q/(2-q)} \cos(tv_j^{-2/(2-q)}) = 1 - v_j^{2q/(2-q)} (1 - \cos(tv_j^{-2/(2-q)}))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\sum a_j Y_j}(t) &= \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_j^{2q/(2-q)} (1 - \cos(ta_j v_j^{-2/(2-q)}))) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - c_r \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

To evaluate this product we use the estimates on $\frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}}$ to deduce that, for each j

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \left(1 - c_r \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \right) + c_r \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \right| \\ \leq C \varepsilon c_r^2 \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \end{aligned}$$

for some absolute $C < \infty$. Then, by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left(1 - c_r \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \right) + c_r \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \right| \\ \leq C \varepsilon c_r (\varepsilon + b^r). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) again we get

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left(1 - c_r \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_j^{r+1}} (1 - \cos(tx_j)) \right) + |t|^r \right| \leq (C + 1) \varepsilon (\varepsilon + b^r)$$

(assuming as we may that $b \geq 1$), and from (3) we get

$$\varphi_{\sum a_j Y_j}(t) = (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \exp(-|t|^r)$$

for all $|t| \in [a, b]$, where the function hiding under the O notation depends on r and b but on nothing else. It follows that, given any $\eta > 0$, one can find a, b and ε such that for the corresponding $\{a_j, Y_j\}$ there is a symmetric r -stable Y (with characteristic function $e^{-|t|^r}$) satisfying

$$\left\| Y - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j Y_j \right\|_q \leq \eta.$$

This follows from classical translation of various convergence notions; see e.g. [Ro2, p. 154].

Let now $0 < \delta < 1$. Put $w_j = \delta v_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and let Z_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, be independent, symmetric, three-valued random variables such that $|Z_j| = w_j^{-2/(2-q)} \mathbf{1}_{C_j}$ with $\text{Prob}(C_j) = w_j^{2q/(2-q)}$, so that in particular $\|Z_j\|_q = 1$ and $w_j = \|Z_j\|_q / \|Z_j\|_2$. In a similar manner to the argument above we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\sum \delta a_j Z_j}(t) &= \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - w_j^{2q/(2-q)} (1 - \cos(t \delta a_j w_j^{-2/(2-q)}))) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \delta^{2q/(2-q)} v_j^{2q/(2-q)} (1 - \cos(t \delta^{-q/(2-q)} a_j v_j^{-2/(2-q)}))) \\ &= (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \exp(-\delta^{q(2-r)/(2-q)} |t|^r) \end{aligned}$$

for all $|t| \in [\delta^{q/(2-q)} a, \delta^{q/(2-q)} b]$, where the O now depends also on δ .

Assuming $\delta^{q(2-r)/(2-q)} > 1/2$ and for a choice of a, b and ε depending on δ, r, q and η we find that there is a symmetric r -stable random variable Z (with characteristic function $e^{-\delta^{q(2-r)/(2-q)} |t|^r}$) such that

$$\left\| Z - \sum_{j=1}^n \delta a_j Z_j \right\|_q \leq \eta.$$

Note that the ratio between the L_q norms of Y and Z is bounded away from zero and infinity by universal constants and each of these norms is also universally bounded away from zero. Consequently, if ε is small enough the ratio between the L_q norms of $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j Y_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \delta a_j Z_j$ is bounded away from zero and infinity by universal constants.

Let now δ_i be any sequence decreasing to zero and r_i any sequence such that $q < r_i \uparrow 2$ and $\delta_i^{q(2-r_i)/(2-q)} > 1/2$. Then for any sequence $\varepsilon_i \downarrow 0$ we can find two sequences of symmetric, independent, three-valued random variables $\{Y_i\}$ and $\{W_i\}$, all normalized in L_q , with the following additional properties:

- Put $v_j = \|Y_j\|_q / \|Y_j\|_2$ and $w_j = \|Z_j\|_q / \|Z_j\|_2$. Then there are disjoint finite subsets of the integers σ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $w_j = \delta_i v_j$ for $j \in \sigma_i$.

- There are independent random variables $\{\bar{Y}_i\}$ and $\{\bar{Z}_i\}$ with \bar{Y}_i and \bar{Z}_i r_i -stable with bounded, from zero and infinity, ratio of L_q norms and there are coefficients $\{a_j\}$ such that

$$\left\| \bar{Y}_i - \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} a_j Y_j \right\|_q < \varepsilon_i \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \bar{Z}_i - \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} \delta_i a_j Z_j \right\|_q < \varepsilon_i.$$

From [Ro1] we know that the spans of $\{Y_j\}$ and $\{Z_j\}$ are complemented in L_q , $1 < q < 2$, and the dual spaces are naturally isomorphic to $X_{p,\{v_j\}}$ and $X_{p,\{w_j\}}$ respectively; both the isomorphism constants and the complementation constants depend only on q . Here $p = q/(q - 1)$ and

$$\|\{\alpha_j\}\|_{X_{p,\{u_j\}}} = \max \left\{ \left(\sum |\alpha_j|^p \right)^{1/p}, \left(\sum u_j^2 \alpha_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Under this duality the adjoint D^* to the operator D that sends Y_j to $\delta_i Z_j$ for $j \in \sigma_i$ is formally the same diagonal operator between $X_{p,\{w_i\}}$ and $X_{p,\{v_i\}}$. The relation $w_j = \delta_i v_j$ for $j \in \sigma_i$ easily implies that this is a bounded operator; $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ implies that this operator is ℓ_q -strictly singular. If $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ fast enough, D^* preserves a copy of $\text{span}\{\bar{Y}_i\}$. Finally, if r_i tends to 2 not too fast this span is not isomorphic to a Hilbert space. Indeed, let $1 \leq s_j \uparrow 2$ be arbitrary and let $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive integers with $n_j^{1/s_j-1/2} \geq j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, say. For $1 \leq k \leq n_j$, put $r_{n_1+\dots+n_{j-1}+k} = s_j$. Then the span of $\{Y_i\}_{i=n_1+\dots+n_{j-1}+1}^{n_1+\dots+n_j}$ is isomorphic, with constant independent of j , to $\ell_{s_j}^{n_j}$ and this last space is of distance at least j from a Euclidean space.

It follows that if $J : L_q \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is an isometric embedding, then JD^* cannot be arbitrarily approximated by an operator which factors through a Hilbert space, and hence the Weak Tylli Conjecture is false in the range $1 < q < 2$.

5. Convolution by a biased coin

In this section we regard L_p as $L_p(\Delta)$, where $\Delta = \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ is the Cantor group and the measure is the Haar measure μ on Δ ; i.e., $\mu = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \mu_n$, where $\mu_n(-1) = \mu_n(1) = 1/2$. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let ν_ε be the ε -biased coin tossing measure, i.e., $\nu_\varepsilon = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \nu_{\varepsilon,n}$, where $\nu_{\varepsilon,n}(1) = (1 + \varepsilon)/2$ and $\nu_{\varepsilon,n}(-1) = (1 - \varepsilon)/2$. Let T_ε be convolution by ν_ε , so that for a μ -integrable function f on Δ , $(T_\varepsilon f)(x) = (f * \nu_\varepsilon)(x) = \int_\Delta f(xy) d\nu_\varepsilon(y)$. The operator T_ε is a contraction on L_p for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let us recall how T_ε acts on the characters on Δ . For $t = \{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \Delta$, let $r_n(t) = t_n$. The characters on Δ are finite products of these *Rademacher functions* r_n (where the void product is the constant one function). For A a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , set $w_A = \prod_{n \in A} r_n$ and let W_n be the linear span of $\{w_A : |A| = n\}$. Then $T_\varepsilon w_A = \varepsilon^{|A|} w_A$.

We are interested in studying T_ε on L_p , $1 \leq p < 2$. The background we mention below is all contained in Bonami's paper [Bo] (or see [Ro4]). On L_p , $1 < p < 2$, T_ε is ℓ_p -strictly singular; in fact, T_ε even maps L_p into L_r for some $r = r(p, \varepsilon) > p$. Indeed, by interpolation it is sufficient to check that T_ε maps L_s into L_2 for some $s = s(\varepsilon) < 2$. But there is a constant C_s which tends to 1 as $s \uparrow 2$ so that for all $f \in W_n$, $\|f\|_2 \leq C_s^n \|f\|_s$

and the orthogonal projection P_n onto (the closure of) W_n satisfies $\|P_n\|_p \leq C_s^n$. From this it is easy to check that if $\varepsilon C_s^2 < 1$, then T_ε maps L_s into L_2 . We remark in passing that Bonami [Bo] found for each p (including $p \geq 2$) and ε the largest value of $r = r(p, \varepsilon)$ such that T_ε maps L_p into L_r .

Thus Theorem 4 shows that if X is a subspace of L_p , $1 < p < 2$, and T_ε (considered as an operator from L_p to L_p) is an isomorphism on X , then X embeds into L_s for all $s < 2$. Since, as we mentioned above, T_ε maps L_s into L_2 for some $s < 2$, it then follows from an argument in [Ro4] that X must be isomorphic to a Hilbert space. (Actually, as we show after the proof, Lemma 3 is that we can prove Theorem 5 without using Theorem 4.) Since [Ro4] is not generally available, we repeat Rosenthal’s argument in Lemma 3 below.

Now T_ε is not ℓ_1 -strictly singular on L_1 . Nevertheless, we still find that if X is a reflexive subspace of L_1 , and T_ε (considered as an operator from L_1 to L_1) is an isomorphism on X , then X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. Indeed, Rosenthal showed (see Lemma 3) that then there is another subspace X_0 of L_1 which is isomorphic to X so that X_0 is contained in L_p for some $1 < p < 2$, the L_p and L_1 norms are equivalent on X_0 , and T_ε is an isomorphism on X_0 . This implies that as an operator on L_p , T_ε is an isomorphism on X_0 and hence X_0 is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. (To apply Lemma 3, use the fact [Ro3] that if X is a reflexive subspace of L_1 , then X embeds into L_p for some $1 < p < 2$.)

We summarize this discussion in the first sentence of Theorem 5. The case $p = 1$ solves Problem B from Rosenthal’s 1976 paper [Ro4].

Theorem 5. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$, let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and let T_ε be considered as an operator on L_p . If X is a reflexive subspace of L_p and the restriction of T_ε to X is an isomorphism, then X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. Moreover, if $p > 1$, then X is complemented in L_p .*

We now prove Rosenthal’s lemma [Ro4, proof of Theorem 5] and defer the proof of the “moreover” statement in Theorem 5 until after the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that T is an operator on L_p , $1 \leq p < r < s < 2$, X is a subspace of L_p which is isomorphic to a subspace of L_s , and $T|_X$ is an isomorphism. Then there is another subspace X_0 of L_p which is isomorphic to X so that X_0 is contained in L_r , the L_r and L_p norms are equivalent on X_0 , and T is an isomorphism on X_0 .*

Proof. We want to find a measurable set E so that

- (1) $X_0 := \{\mathbf{1}_E x : x \in X\}$ is isomorphic to X ,
- (2) $X_0 \subset L_r$,
- (3) $T|_{X_0}$ is an isomorphism.

(We did not say that $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_r$ are equivalent on X_0 since that follows formally from the closed graph theorem. The isomorphism $X \rightarrow X_0$ guaranteed by (a) is of course the mapping $x \mapsto \mathbf{1}_E x$.)

Assume, without loss of generality, that $\|T\| = 1$. Take $a > 0$ so that $\|Tx\|_p \geq a\|x\|_p$ for all x in X . Since ℓ_p does not embed into L_s we see from (4) in Lemma 1 that there is

$\eta > 0$ so that if E has measure larger than $1 - \eta$, then $\|\mathbf{1}_{\sim E}x\|_p \leq (a/2)\|x\|_p$ for all x in X . Obviously (1) and (3) are satisfied for any such E . It is proved in [Ro3] that there is a strictly positive g with $\|g\|_1 = 1$ so that x/g is in L_r for all x in X . Now simply choose $t < \infty$ so that $E := [g < t]$ has measure at least $1 - \eta$; then E satisfies (1)–(3). \square

Next we remark how to avoid using Theorem 4 in proving Theorem 5. Suppose that T_ε is an isomorphism on a reflexive subspace X of L_p , $1 \leq p < 2$. Let s be the supremum of those $r \leq 2$ such that X is isomorphic to a subspace of L_r , so $1 < s \leq 2$. It is sufficient to show that $s = 2$. But if $s < 2$, the interpolation formula implies that if $r < s$ is sufficiently close to s , then T_ε maps L_r into L_t for some $t > s$ and hence, by Lemma 3, X embeds into L_t .

Finally, we prove the “moreover” statement in Theorem 5. We now know that X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. In the proof of Lemma 3, instead of using Rosenthal’s result from [Ro3], use Grothendieck’s theorem [DJT, Theorem 3.5], which implies that there is a strictly positive g with $\|g\|_1 = 1$ so that x/g is in L_2 for all x in X . Choosing E the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3 with $T := T_\varepsilon$, we see that (1)–(3) are true with $r = 2$. Now the L_2 and L_p norms are equivalent on both X_0 and on $T_\varepsilon X_0$. But it is clear that the only way that T_ε can be an isomorphism on a subspace X_0 of L_2 is for the orthogonal projection P_n onto the closed span of W_k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, to be an isomorphism on X_0 for some finite n . But then also in the L_p norm the restriction of P_n to X_0 is an isomorphism, because the L_p norm and the L_2 norm are equivalent on the span of W_k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, and P_n is bounded on L_p (since $p > 1$). It follows that the operator $S := P_n \circ \mathbf{1}_E$ on L_p maps X_0 isomorphically onto a complemented subspace of L_p , which implies that X_0 is also complemented in L_p .

Here is the problem that started us thinking about ℓ_p -strictly singular operators:

Problem 1. Let $1 < p < 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. On $L_p(\Delta)$, does T_ε satisfy the conclusion of the Tylli Conjecture?

After we submitted this paper, G. Pisier [Pi] answered Problem 1 in the affirmative.

Although the example in Section 4 shows that the Tylli Conjecture is false, something close to it may be true:

Problem 2. Let $1 < p < r < 2$. Is every ℓ_p -strictly singular operator on L_p in the closure of the operators on L_p that factor through L_r ?

6. Appendix

In this appendix we prove a theorem that is essentially due to Saksman and Tylli. The only novelty is that we assume the compact approximation property rather than the approximation property.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space and let A, B be in $L(X)$. Then*

- (a) *If ATB is a compact operator on X for every T in $L(X)$, then $L_A R_B$ is a weakly compact operator on $L(X)$.*

- (b) If X has the compact approximation property and $L_A R_B$ is a weakly compact operator on $L(X)$, then ATB is a compact operator on X for every T in $L(X)$.

Proof. To prove (a), recall [Kal] that for a reflexive space X , on bounded subsets of $K(X)$ the weak topology is the same as the weak operator topology (the operator $T \mapsto f_T \in C((B_X, \text{weak}) \times (B_{X^*}, \text{weak}))$, where $f_T(x, x^*) := \langle x^*, Tx \rangle$, is an isometric isomorphism from $K(X)$ into a space of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space). Now if (T_α) is a bounded net in $L(X)$, then since X is reflexive there is a subnet (which we still denote by (T_α)) which converges in the weak operator topology to, say, $T \in L(X)$. Then $AT_\alpha B$ converges in the the weak operator topology to ATB . But since all these operators are in $K(X)$, $AT_\alpha B$ converges weakly to ATB by Kalton's theorem. This shows that $L_A R_B$ is a weakly compact operator on $L(X)$.

To prove (b), suppose that we have a $T \in L(X)$ with ATB not compact. Then there is a weakly null normalized sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and $\delta > 0$ so that for all n , $\|ATBx_n\| > \delta$. Since a reflexive space with the compact approximation property also has the compact metric approximation property [CJ], there are $C_n \in K(X)$ with $\|C_n\| < 1 + 1/n$ and $C_n Bx_i = Bx_i$ for $i \leq n$. Since the C_n are compact, for each n , $\|C_n Bx_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $A(TC_n)Bx_i = ATBx_i$ for $i \leq n$ and $\|A(TC_n)Bx_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that no convex combination of $\{A(TC_n)B\}_{n=1}^\infty$ can converge in the norm of $L(X)$ and hence $\{A(TC_n)B\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has no weakly convergent subsequence. This contradicts the weak compactness of $L_A R_B$ and completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. Research of W. B. Johnson was supported in part by NSF DMS-0503688 and U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

Research of G. Schechtman was supported in part by Israel Science Foundation and U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation; he was also a participant of NSF Workshop in Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University.

References

- [Al] Aldous, D. J.: Subspaces of L^1 , via random measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **267**, 445–463 (1981) Zbl 0474.46007 MR 0626483
- [Bo] Bonami, A.: Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de $L^p(G)$. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **20**, no. 2, 335–402 (1970) Zbl 0195.42501 MR 0283496
- [CJ] Cho, C.-M., Johnson, W. B.: A characterization of subspaces X of l_p for which $K(X)$ is an M -ideal in $L(X)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93**, 466–470 (1985) Zbl 0537.47010 MR 0774004
- [DJT] Diestel, J., Jarchow, H., Tonge, A.: *Absolutely Summing Operators*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 43, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1995) Zbl 0855.47016 MR 1342297
- [Jo] Johnson, W. B.: Operators into L_p which factor through ℓ_p . *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **14**, 333–339 (1976) Zbl 0413.47025 MR 0425667
- [JJ] Johnson, W. B., Jones, L.: Every L_p operator is an L_2 operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**, 309–312 (1978) Zbl 0391.46026 MR 0507330
- [KP] Kadec, M. I., Pełczyński, A.: Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces L_p . *Studia Math.* **21**, 161–176 (1961/1962) Zbl 0102.32202 MR 0152879

- [Kal] Kalton, N. J.: Spaces of compact operators. *Math. Ann.* **208**, 267–278 (1974) Zbl 0266.47038 MR 0341154
- [KK] Koldobsky, A., König, H.: Aspects of the isometric theory of Banach spaces. In: *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 899–939 (2001) Zbl 1005.46005 MR 1863709
- [Kr] Krivine, J.-L.: Sous-espaces de dimension finie des espaces de Banach réticulés. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**, 1–29 (1976) Zbl 0329.46008 MR 0407568
- [KM] Krivine, J.-L., Maurey, B.: Espaces de Banach stables. *Israel J. Math.* **39**, 273–295 (1981) Zbl 0504.46013 MR 0636897
- [La] Lacey, H. E.: *The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 208, Springer, New York (1974). Zbl 0285.46024 MR 0493279
- [LT] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: *Classical Banach Spaces I&II*. *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* 92 & 97, Springer, Berlin (1977 & 1979) Zbl 0852.46015 MR 0500056 and MR 0540367
- [MP] Maurey, B., Pisier, G.: Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach. *Studia Math.* **58**, 45–90 (1976) Zbl 0344.47014 MR 0443015
- [PR] Pełczyński, A., Rosenthal, H. P.: Localization techniques in L^p spaces. *Studia Math.* **52**, 263–289 (1974/75) Zbl 0297.46023 MR 0361729
- [Pi] Pisier, G.: Remarks on hypercontractive semigroups and operator ideals. arXiv:0708.3423
- [PI] Plotkin, A. I.: An algebra that is generated by translation operators, and L^p -norms. In: *Functional Analysis*, No. 6: *Theory of Operators in Linear Spaces*, Ulyanovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst., Ulyanovsk, 112–121 (1976) (in Russian)
- [Ro1] Rosenthal, H. P.: On the subspaces of L^p ($p > 2$) spanned by sequences of independent random variables. *Israel J. Math.* **8**, 273–303 (1970) Zbl 0213.19303 MR 0271721
- [Ro2] Rosenthal, H. P.: On the span in L^p of sequences of independent random variables, II. In: *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (Berkeley, CA, 1970/1971), Vol. II: *Probability Theory*, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, CA, 149–167 (1972) Zbl 0255.60003 MR 0440354
- [Ro3] Rosenthal, H. P.: On subspaces of L^p . *Ann. of Math. (2)* **97**, 344–373 (1973) Zbl 0253.46049 MR 0312222
- [Ro4] Rosenthal, H. P.: Convolution by a biased coin. *The Altgeld Book* (1975/76)
- [Ru] Rudin, W.: L^p -isometries and equimeasurability. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25**, 215–228 (1976) Zbl 0326.46011 MR 0410355
- [ST1] Saksman, E., Tylli, H.-O.: Weak compactness of multiplication operators on spaces of bounded linear operators. *Math. Scand.* **70**, 91–111 (1992) Zbl 0760.47019 MR 1174205
- [ST2] Saksman, E., Tylli, H.-O.: Multiplications and elementary operators in the Banach space setting. In: *Methods in Banach Space Theory* (Caceres, 2004), J. F. M. Castillo and W. B. Johnson (eds.), *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* 337, Cambridge Univ. Press, 253–292 (2006) Zbl 1133.47029 MR 2326390
- [We] Weis, L.: On perturbations of Fredholm operators in $L_p(\mu)$ -spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67**, 287–292 (1977) Zbl 0377.46016 MR 0467377
- [Woj] Wojtaszczyk, P.: *Banach Spaces for Analysts*. *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.* 25, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1991) Zbl 0724.46012 MR 1144277