

## M641 Fall 2012 Midterm Exam Solutions

1. [10 pts] Show that if a linear space  $\mathcal{S}$  has dimension  $n$  then:

- Any collection of linearly independent elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  must contain  $n$  or fewer elements.
- Any  $n$  linearly independent elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  forms a basis of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Solution.** For (a) suppose  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$  is a linearly independent collection of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $m > n$ . The calculation in Keener 1.1.1 says precisely that this is a contradiction. I.e., we'll show that the set  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$  is linearly dependent, so that at least one element can be removed. This process of removal can continue until  $n = m$ .

We can expand each of the  $v_j$  in terms of the  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$

$$v_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kj} u_k.$$

Now we try to identify a set of constants  $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^m$ , not all 0, so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m c_j v_j = 0.$$

This would require

$$\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kj} u_k = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m c_j a_{kj} \right) u_k = 0.$$

If the  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$  are linearly independent, we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_{kj} c_j = 0$$

for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . This is equivalent to the matrix equation

$$A\vec{c} = 0,$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . Since  $m > n$  the null space of  $A$  must have dimension at least  $m - n$ , and so there must exist a non-trivial vector  $\vec{c}$ , so that  $A\vec{c} = 0$ . But this means precisely that the set  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$  is not linearly independent.

For (b) suppose we have any  $n$  linearly independent elements in  $\mathcal{S}$ , say  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , and suppose this set is not a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then there will be an element  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  so that  $s$  is not a linear combination of the  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ . But this means we would have a set of  $n+1$  linearly independent elements, which contradicts (a).

2 [10 pts]. Consider the symmetric  $5 \times 5$  matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{39}}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{39}}{2} & 1 & -1 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that if  $\lambda_1$  denotes the largest eigenvalue of this matrix then  $\lambda_1 \geq 11.5$ .

**Solution.** We know that

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{|\vec{x}|=1} \langle A\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle \geq \max_{\substack{|\vec{x}|=1 \\ x_2=x_3=x_4=0}} \langle A\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = \max_{\substack{|\vec{x}|=1 \\ x_2=x_3=x_4=0}} \sum_{i,j \neq 2,3,4} A_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

The right-hand side is precisely the largest eigenvalue of the submatrix

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & \frac{\sqrt{39}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{39}}{2} & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix satisfy

$$(10 - \lambda)(5 - \lambda) - \frac{39}{4} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - 15\lambda + \frac{161}{4} = 0.$$

We see that

$$\lambda = \frac{15 \pm \sqrt{225 - 161}}{2} = \frac{15 \pm \sqrt{64}}{2} = \frac{15 \pm 8}{2} = 11.5, 3.5.$$

3 [10 pts]. Answer the following:

a. State the Fredholm Alternative, as discussed in class and in Keener.

b. Prove the following version of the Fredholm Alternative:

For any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  exactly one of the following holds:

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a unique solution for each  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$
- $\mathcal{N}(A^*) \neq \{0\}$

**Solution.** For (a) the statement from class is as follows:

Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . The equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a solution if and only if  $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp$ .

For (b), first suppose  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a solution for each  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . According to the Fredholm Alternative, each of these  $\vec{b}$  must be in  $\mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp$ , so  $\mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp = \mathbb{C}^n$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{N}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{N}(A^*) = \{0\}$ . On the other hand, suppose there exists  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  so that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  does not have a unique solution. If no solution exists for  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  then by the Fredholm Alternative  $\dim \mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp < n$  and so  $\mathcal{N}(A^*) \neq \{0\}$ . On the other hand, if a solution exists but is not unique, then by letting  $\vec{x}_1$  and  $\vec{x}_2$  denote two solutions we see that  $\mathcal{N}(A) \neq \{0\}$ . By the Fredholm Alternative,

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{R}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A),$$

so  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A^*) < n$ . But by the rank-nullity theorem

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(A^*) + \dim \mathcal{N}(A^*) = n,$$

so  $\mathcal{N}(A^*) \neq \{0\}$ .

Alternatively, we can shorten this a little by using the Matrix Inversion Theorem. In particular, we start by asserting that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a unique solution for each  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$  (which ensures that  $A$  is invertible). Now for  $\mathcal{N}(A) \neq \{0\}$  we simply use the latter part of the previous proof.

4. [10 pts] Answer the following:

a. State Hölder's inequality.

b. Prove the following theorem: Suppose  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and  $1 < p, q < \infty$ , with  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 1$ . Show that if  $f \in L^p(U)$  and  $g \in L^q(U)$  then

$$\|fg\|_{L^r} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}.$$

**Solution.** For (a): Suppose  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then if  $u \in L^p(U)$  and  $v \in L^q(U)$  we have

$$\|uv\|_{L^1} \leq \|u\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{L^q}.$$

For (b),

$$\|fg\|_{L^r}^r = \int_U |f|^r |g|^r d\vec{x}.$$

We use Hölder's inequality with  $p_1 = p/r$  and  $p_2 = q/r$ . This immediately gives

$$\int_U |f|^r |g|^r d\vec{x} \leq \left( \int_U |f|^p d\vec{x} \right)^{r/p} \left( \int_U |g|^q d\vec{x} \right)^{r/q}.$$

Now taking an  $r$  root gives the claim.

5. [10 pts] Find the values  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  for which

$$f(x) = \left| \ln |x| \right|^l$$

is weakly differentiable on  $U = (-1, 1)$ .

**Solution.** First, we verify that  $f \in L^1_{loc}(U)$ . Notice, in particular, that since we only require *local* integrability there will be no problem at the endstates  $\pm 1$ . That is, it's sufficient to check when

$$\int_{-1+\delta}^{1-\delta} \left| \ln |x| \right|^l dx$$

is finite for any  $\delta > 0$ . But this is clearly bounded since  $\ln |x|$  blows up sub-algebraically as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . If you want to think about this in more detail, consider the integral

$$\int_0^{1-\delta} (-\ln x)^l dx,$$

which is precisely half the integral under consideration. Set  $u = -\ln x$  so that  $dx = -e^{-u} du$ . Then

$$\int_0^{1-\delta} (-\ln x)^l dx = \int_{-\ln(1-\delta)}^{\infty} u^l e^{-u} du.$$

Here, the sub-algebraic nature of  $\ln|x|$  becomes the (perhaps more familiar) sub-exponential behavior of  $u^l$ . Due to this exponential decay, this last integral is clearly finite.

Next, we need to identify  $v \in L^1_{loc}(U)$  so that

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x)\phi'(x)dx = - \int_{-1}^1 v(x)\phi(x)dx$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(-1, 1)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 |\ln|x||^l \phi'(x)dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon < |x| < 1} |\ln|x||^l \phi'(x)dx \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ |\ln|x||^l \phi(x) \Big|_{-1}^{-\epsilon} + |\ln|x||^l \phi(x) \Big|_{\epsilon}^1 - \int_{\epsilon < |x| < 1} l |\ln|x||^{l-2} \ln|x| \frac{x}{|x|^2} \phi(x) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the boundary terms  $\phi(-1) = \phi(1) = 0$  by compact support, and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ |\ln \epsilon|^l (\phi(-\epsilon) - \phi(\epsilon)) \right\} = 0$$

by the continuous differentiability of  $\phi$ . Our candidate for a weak derivative is

$$v(x) = l |\ln|x||^{l-2} \ln|x| \frac{x}{|x|^2} = -l(-\ln|x|)^{l-1} \frac{1}{x}.$$

We need to check when this is in  $L^1_{loc}(-1, 1)$ , so consider

$$\int_{-1+\delta}^{1-\delta} \frac{|\ln|x||^{l-1}}{|x|} dx.$$

Here  $\ln|x| < 0$ , so for example consider

$$- \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{(\ln x)^{l-1}}{x} dx.$$

We set  $y = \ln x \Rightarrow dy = \frac{dx}{x}$  so that we have, for  $l \neq 0$ ,

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{\ln(1-\delta)} y^{l-1} dy = - \frac{y^l}{l} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\ln(1-\delta)},$$

and this is bounded for  $l < 0$ . For  $l = 0$  we have simply  $v \equiv 0$ , so we conclude that the range of  $l$  is

$$l \leq 0.$$