# SKEW LITTLEWOOD–RICHARDSON RULES FROM HOPF ALGEBRAS

#### THOMAS LAM, AARON LAUVE, AND FRANK SOTTILE

ABSTRACT. We use Hopf algebras to prove a version of the Littlewood–Richardson rule for skew Schur functions, which implies a conjecture of Assaf and McNamara. We also establish skew Littlewood–Richardson rules for Schur P- and Q-functions and noncommutative ribbon Schur functions, as well as skew Pieri rules for k-Schur functions, dual k-Schur functions, and for the homology of the affine Grassmannian of the symplectic group.

Assaf and McNamara [1] recently used combinatorics to give an elegant and surprising "skew Pieri rule," a formula for the product of a skew Schur function and a complete homogeneous symmetric function. Their paper also included a conjectural skew version of the Littlewood–Richardson rule, and an appendix by one of us (Lam) that provided a simple algebraic proof of their skew Pieri rule. In this paper, we extend this algebraic point of view further, showing that the Assaf–McNamara skew Pieri rule and conjectured skew Littlewood–Richardson rule are special cases of a simple formula holding for any pair of dual Hopf algebras.

We establish this Hopf-algebraic formula and explore its implications in some well-known Hopf algebras in combinatorics. In particular, we deduce the conjectural formula in [1] and establish skew Littlewood–Richardson rules for Schur P- and Q-functions and noncommutative ribbon Schur functions. Finally, we provide skew Pieri rules for k-Schur functions, dual k-Schur functions, and for the homology of the affine Grassmannian of the symplectic group. A significance of these latter formulas is that—unlike the Assaf–McNamara skew Pieri rule—there are not yet combinatorial formulas for the structure constants of these Hopf algebras, and so these formulas currently cannot be deduced using combinatorial arguments.

### 1. A HOPF ALGEBRAIC FORMULA

We assume basic familiarity with Hopf algebras, as found in the opening chapters of the book [10]. Let H,  $H^*$  be a pair of dual Hopf algebras over a field  $\Bbbk$ . This means that there is a nondegenerate pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon H \otimes H^* \to \Bbbk$  for which the structure of  $H^*$  is dual to that of H and vice-versa. For example, H could be finite-dimensional and  $H^*$  its linear dual, or H could be graded with each component finite-dimensional and  $H^*$  its graded dual. These algebras naturally act on each other [10, 1.6.5]: suppose that  $h \in H$  and  $a \in H^*$  and set

(1) 
$$h \rightharpoonup a := \sum \langle h, a_2 \rangle a_1$$
 and  $a \rightharpoonup h := \sum \langle h_2, a \rangle h_1$ 

Date: 29 October 2009.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E05,16W30.

Sottile was supported by the NSF grant DMS-0701050.

Lam was supported by a Sloan Fellowship, and by NSF grants DMS-0901111 and DMS-0652641.

(We use Sweedler notation for the coproduct,  $\Delta h = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$ .) These left actions are the adjoints of right multiplication: for  $g, h \in H$  and  $a, b \in H^*$ ,

$$\langle g, h \rightharpoonup a \rangle = \langle g \cdot h, a \rangle$$
 and  $\langle a \rightharpoonup h, b \rangle = \langle h, b \cdot a \rangle$ .

This shows that  $H^*$  is a left *H*-module under the action in (1). In fact,  $H^*$  is a left *H*-module algebra, meaning that for  $a, b \in H^*$  and  $h \in H$ ,

(2) 
$$h \rightharpoonup (a \cdot b) = \sum (h_1 \rightharpoonup a) \cdot (h_2 \rightharpoonup b).$$

Recall that the *counit*  $\varepsilon \colon H \to \Bbbk$  and *antipode*  $S \colon H \to H$  satisfy  $\sum h_1 \cdot \varepsilon(h_2) = h$  and  $\sum h_1 \cdot S(h_2) = \varepsilon(h) \cdot 1_H$  for all  $h \in H$ . We establish a simple product formula involving the action  $\rightharpoonup$ .

**Lemma 1.** For  $g, h \in H$  and  $a \in H^*$ , we have

(3) 
$$(a \rightharpoonup g) \cdot h = \sum (S(h_2) \rightharpoonup a) \rightharpoonup (g \cdot h_1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $b \in H^*$ . We prove first the formula

(4) 
$$(h \rightarrow b) \cdot a = \sum h_1 \rightarrow (b \cdot (S(h_2) \rightarrow a)).$$

(This is essentially (\*) in the proof of Lemma 2.1.4 in [10].) Expanding the sum using (2) and coassociativity,  $(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta(h) = (1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes h_3$ , gives

(5) 
$$\sum h_1 \rightharpoonup (b \cdot (S(h_2) \rightharpoonup a)) = \sum (h_1 \rightharpoonup b) \cdot (h_2 \rightharpoonup (S(h_3) \rightharpoonup a)) = \sum (h_1 \rightharpoonup b) \cdot ((h_2 \cdot S(h_3)) \rightharpoonup a)$$

$$(6) \qquad \qquad = (h \rightharpoonup b) \cdot a \,.$$

Here, (5) follows as  $H^*$  is an *H*-module and (6) from the antipode and counit conditions. Note that  $\langle (a \rightarrow g) \cdot h, b \rangle = \langle a \rightarrow g, h \rightarrow b \rangle = \langle g, (h \rightarrow b) \cdot a \rangle$ . Using (4) this becomes

$$\langle g, \sum h_1 \rightharpoonup (b \cdot (S(h_2) \rightharpoonup a)) \rangle = \sum \langle g \cdot h_1, b \cdot (S(h_2) \rightharpoonup a) \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle \sum (S(h_2) \rightharpoonup a) \rightharpoonup (g \cdot h_1), b \rangle,$ 

which proves the lemma, as this holds for all  $b \in H^*$ .

**Remark 2.** This proof is identical to the argument in the appendix to [1], where h was a complete homogeneous symmetric function in the Hopf algebra H of symmetric functions.

### 2. Application to distinguished bases

We will apply Lemma 1 to produce skew Littlewood–Richardson rules for several Hopf algebras in algebraic combinatorics. Here, we isolate the common features of those arguments.

In the notation of Section 1, let  $\{L_{\lambda}\} \subset H$  and  $\{R_{\lambda}\} \subset H^*$  be dual bases indexed by some set  $\mathcal{P}$ , so  $\langle L_{\lambda}, R_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ . Define structure constants  $b_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$  for H and  $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ 

for  $H^*$  by expanding products and coproducts of basis elements in the basis,

(7) 
$$L_{\lambda} \cdot L_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} b_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} L_{\nu} \qquad \Delta(L_{\nu}) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu}$$

(8) 
$$R_{\lambda} \cdot R_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} c^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} R_{\nu} \qquad \Delta(R_{\nu}) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} b^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} R_{\lambda} \otimes R_{\mu}.$$

Note that the structure of  $H^*$  can be recovered from the structure of H. Thus, we may suppress the analogs of (8) in the coming sections.

Another way to express the coproduct is to expand only the right tensor factor in terms of the basis:

(9) 
$$\Delta(L_{\nu}) = \sum_{\mu} L_{\nu/\mu} \otimes L_{\mu} \qquad \Delta(R_{\nu}) = \sum_{\mu} R_{\nu/\mu} \otimes R_{\mu}.$$

The corresponding left tensor factors, the skew elements  $L_{\nu/\mu}$  and  $R_{\nu/\mu}$  above, have a natural interpretation in terms of the  $\rightarrow$  action, namely,  $R_{\lambda} \rightarrow L_{\mu} = L_{\mu/\lambda}$  and  $L_{\lambda} \rightarrow R_{\mu} = R_{\mu/\lambda}$ . (This is explained in the proof of Theorem 3.) We also make use of the structure constants  $c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}$  and  $b^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}$ , which are defined by

(10) 
$$\Delta(L_{\tau/\sigma}) = \sum_{\pi,\rho} c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} L_{\pi} \otimes L_{\rho} \qquad \Delta(R_{\tau/\sigma}) = \sum_{\pi,\rho} b^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} R_{\pi} \otimes R_{\rho}$$

Finally, suppose that the antipode acts on H in the *L*-basis according to the formula

(11) 
$$S(L_{\rho}) = (-1)^{e(\rho)} L_{\rho^{\mathsf{T}}}$$

for some functions  $\mathbf{e} \colon \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\cdot)^{\mathsf{T}} \colon \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ . Then Lemma 1 takes the following form. **Theorem 3** (Algebraic Littlewood–Richardson formula). For any  $\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

(12) 
$$L_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot L_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum_{\pi,\rho,\lambda^-,\mu^+} (-1)^{\mathbf{e}(\rho)} c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} b^{\lambda}_{\lambda^-,\rho^\mathsf{T}} b^{\mu^+}_{\mu,\pi} L_{\mu^+/\lambda^-}.$$

Swapping  $L \leftrightarrow R$  and  $b \leftrightarrow c$  in (12) yields the analog for the skew elements  $R_{\mu/\lambda}$  in  $H^*$ .

*Proof.* The actions in (1) together with the second formulas for the coproducts in (7) and (8) show that  $R_{\lambda} \rightarrow L_{\mu} = L_{\mu/\lambda}$  and  $L_{\lambda} \rightarrow R_{\mu} = R_{\mu/\lambda}$ . Now use (3) and (7)–(11) to obtain

$$L_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot L_{\tau/\sigma} = (R_{\lambda} \rightharpoonup L_{\mu}) \cdot L_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum_{\pi,\rho} (-1)^{\mathsf{e}(\rho)} c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} \left( (L_{\rho^{\mathsf{T}}} \rightharpoonup R_{\lambda}) \rightharpoonup (L_{\mu} \cdot L_{\pi}) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\pi,\rho,\mu^{+}} (-1)^{\mathsf{e}(\rho)} c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} b_{\mu,\pi}^{\mu^{+}} \left( R_{\lambda/\rho^{\mathsf{T}}} \rightharpoonup L_{\mu^{+}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\pi,\rho,\lambda^{-},\mu^{+}} (-1)^{\mathsf{e}(\rho)} c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} b_{\lambda^{-},\rho^{\mathsf{T}}}^{\lambda} b_{\mu,\pi}^{\mu^{+}} \left( R_{\lambda^{-}} \rightharpoonup L_{\mu^{+}} \right).$$
s equals the right hand side of (12), since  $R_{\lambda^{-}} \rightharpoonup L_{\mu^{+}} = L_{\mu^{+}/\lambda^{-}}.$ 

This equals the right hand side of (12), since  $R_{\lambda^-} \rightharpoonup L_{\mu^+} = L_{\mu^+/\lambda^-}$ .

**Remark 4.** (i) Theorem 3 gives an explicit formula for how the action  $\rightarrow$  commutes with multiplication in a pair of dual Hopf algebras  $(H, H^*)$  satisfying (11).

(ii) The condition (11) is highly restrictive. It implies that the antipode S, as a linear map, is conjugate to a signed permutation matrix. Nevertheless, it holds for the Hopf algebras we consider. More generally, it holds if either H or  $H^*$  is commutative, for then S is an involution [10, Cor. 1.5.12].

(*iii*) For a given algebra H, one could potentially prove a formula such as (12) without resorting to Hopf algebraic techniques. The merit of the present approach is its decreased demand for ingenuity (both in finding the formula and in proving it). In any case, there is no guarantee that the developed algebraic or combinatorial theory would be robust enough for the job. (See Remark 13.)

#### 3. Skew Littlewood–Richardson rule for Schur functions

The commutative Hopf algebra  $\Lambda$  of symmetric functions is graded and self-dual under the Hall inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \to \mathbb{Q}$ . A systematic study of  $\Lambda$  from a Hopf algebra perspective appears in [15]. We follow the definitions and notation in Chapter I of [8]. The Schur basis of  $\Lambda$  (indexed by partitions) is self-dual, so (7), (9), and (10) become

(13) 
$$s_{\lambda} \cdot s_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} s_{\nu} \qquad \Delta(s_{\nu}) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} s_{\lambda} \otimes s_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} s_{\nu/\mu} \otimes s_{\mu}$$

(14) 
$$\Delta(s_{\tau/\sigma}) = \sum_{\pi,\rho} c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} s_{\pi} \otimes s_{\rho},$$

where the  $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$  are the *Littlewood–Richardson coefficients* and the  $s_{\nu/\mu}$  are the *skew Schur* functions [8, I.5]. Combinatorial expressions for the coefficients  $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$  are derived using the Hopf algebraic structure of  $\Lambda$  in [15]. The coefficients  $c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau}$  occur in the triple product  $s_{\pi} \cdot s_{\rho} \cdot s_{\sigma}$ ,

$$c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} = \langle s_{\pi} \cdot s_{\rho} \cdot s_{\sigma}, s_{\tau} \rangle = \langle s_{\pi} \cdot s_{\rho}, s_{\tau/\sigma} \rangle = \langle s_{\pi} \otimes s_{\rho}, \Delta(s_{\tau/\sigma}) \rangle.$$

Write  $\rho'$  for the conjugate (matrix-transpose) of  $\rho$ . Then the action of the antipode is

(15) 
$$S(s_{\rho}) = (-1)^{|\rho|} s_{\rho'},$$

which is just a twisted form of the fundamental involution  $\omega$  that sends  $s_{\rho}$  to  $s_{\rho'}$ . Indeed, the formula  $\sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^i e_i h_j = \delta_{0,n}$  shows that (15) holds on the generators  $\{h_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ of  $\Lambda$ . Then (15) follows as both S and  $\omega$  are algebra maps.

Since  $c_{\lambda^-,\rho'}^{\lambda} = 0$  unless  $|\rho| = |\lambda/\lambda^-|$ , we may write (12) as

(16) 
$$s_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot s_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum_{\pi,\rho,\lambda^-,\mu^+} (-1)^{|\lambda/\lambda^-|} c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} c^{\lambda}_{\lambda^-,\rho'} c^{\mu^+}_{\mu,\pi} s_{\mu^+/\lambda^-}$$

We next formulate a combinatorial version of (16). Given partitions  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , form the skew shape  $\rho * \sigma$  by placing  $\rho$  southwest of  $\sigma$ . Thus,

if 
$$\rho = \blacksquare$$
 and  $\sigma = \blacksquare$  then  $\rho * \sigma = \blacksquare$ .

Similarly, if R is a tableau of shape  $\rho$  and S a tableau of shape  $\sigma$ , then R \* S is the skew tableau of shape  $\rho * \sigma$  obtained by placing R southwest of S. Fix a tableau T of shape  $\tau$ . The Littlewood-Richardson coefficient  $c_{\rho,\sigma}^{\tau}$  is the number of pairs (R, S) of tableaux of respective shapes  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  with R \* S Knuth-equivalent to T. See [3, Ch. 5, Cor. 2(v)]. Similarly,  $c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau}$  is the number of triples (P, R, S) of tableaux of respective shapes  $\pi$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\sigma$  with P \* R \* S Knuth-equivalent to T.

Write  $\operatorname{sh}(S)$  for the shape of a tableau S and  $S \equiv_K T$  if S is Knuth-equivalent to T.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be partitions and fix a tableau T of shape  $\tau$ . Then

$$\Delta(s_{\tau/\sigma}) = \sum s_{\operatorname{sh}(R^-)} \otimes s_{\operatorname{sh}(R^+)},$$

the sum taken over triples  $(R^-, R^+, S)$  of tableaux with  $\operatorname{sh}(S) = \sigma$  and  $R^- * R^+ * S \equiv_K T$ .  $\Box$ 

Note that  $(\mu/\lambda)' = \mu'/\lambda'$  and the operation \* makes sense for skew tableaux. If S is a tableau of skew shape  $\mu/\lambda$ , put  $|S| = |\mu/\lambda| = |\mu| - |\lambda|$ .

**Theorem 6** (Skew Littlewood–Richardson rule). Let  $\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau$  be partitions and fix a tableau T of shape  $\tau$ . Then

(17) 
$$s_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot s_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum (-1)^{|S^-|} s_{\mu^+/\lambda^-},$$

the sum taken over triples  $(S^-, S^+, S)$  of skew tableaux of respective shapes  $(\lambda/\lambda^-)'$ ,  $\mu^+/\mu$ , and  $\sigma$  such that  $S^- * S^+ * S \equiv_K T$ .

**Remark 7.** (i) If  $\tau/\sigma = (r)$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , Theorem 6 reduces to the skew Pieri rule in [1].

(ii) If T is the unique Yamanouchi tableau of shape  $\tau$  whose *j*th row contains only the letter *j*, then Theorem 6 is almost Conjecture 6.1 in [1]. Indeed, in this case S is Yamanouchi of shape  $\sigma$ , so the sum is really over pairs of tableaux, and this explains the  $\sigma$ -Yamanouchi condition in [1]. The difference lies in the tableau  $S^-$  and the reading word condition in [1]. It is an exercise in tableaux combinatorics that there is a bijection between the indices  $(S^-, S^+)$  of Theorem 6 and the corresponding indices of Conjecture 6.1 in [1].

*(iii)* The first hint of a combinatorial skew Littlewood–Richardson rule was provided by Zelevinsky [14], who gave the inner product of two skew Schur functions a combinatorial interpretation via the notion of "pictures."

(iv) We emphasize that the skew elements do not form a basis. Still, the elegant form that (17) takes, and its striking parallel with the classical Littlewood–Richardson rule, is justification enough for its mention. Echoing [1, Sec. 6.3], it would be interesting to find a scenario where expansion of a skew product via (17) is more appropriate than expansion in terms of the Schur basis.

Proof of Theorem 6. We reinterpret (16) in terms of tableaux. Let  $(R^-, R^+, S)$  be a triple of tableaux of partition shape with  $\operatorname{sh}(S) = \sigma$  and  $R^- * R^+ * S \equiv_K T$ . If  $\operatorname{sh}(R^-) = \rho$ , then by [3, Ch. 5, Cor. 2(i)],  $c_{\lambda^-,\rho'}^{\lambda} = c_{(\lambda^-)',\rho}^{\lambda'}$  counts skew tableaux  $S^-$  of shape  $(\lambda/\lambda^-)'$  with  $S^- \equiv_K R^-$ . Likewise, if  $\operatorname{sh}(R^+) = \pi$ , then  $c_{\mu,\pi}^{\mu^+}$  counts skew tableaux  $S^+$  of shape  $\mu^+/\mu$  with  $S^+ \equiv_K R^+$ . Now (16) may be written as

$$s_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot s_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum (-1)^{|S^-|} s_{\mu^+/\lambda^-} ,$$

summing over skew tableaux  $(R^-, R^+, S^-, S^+, S)$  with  $R^{\pm}$  of partition shape,  $\operatorname{sh}(S) = \sigma$ ,  $R^- * R^+ * S \equiv_K T$ ,  $\operatorname{sh}(S^+) = \mu^+/\mu$ ,  $\operatorname{sh}(S^-) = (\lambda/\lambda^-)'$ , and  $S^{\pm} \equiv_K R^{\pm}$ .

Finally, note that  $R^{\pm}$  is the unique tableau of partition shape Knuth-equivalent to  $S^{\pm}$ . Since  $S^{-} * S^{+} * S$  is Knuth-equivalent to T (by transitivity of  $\equiv_{K}$ ), we omit the unnecessary tableaux  $R^{\pm}$  from the indices of summation and reach the statement of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

## 4. Skew Littlewood–Richardson rule for Schur P- and Q-functions

The self-dual Hopf algebra of symmetric functions has a natural self-dual subalgebra  $\Omega$ . This has dual bases the Schur P- and Q-functions [8, III.8], which are indexed by strict *partitions*  $\lambda: \lambda_1 > \Lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_l > 0$ . Write  $\ell(\lambda) = l$  for the length of the partition  $\lambda$ . As in Section 3, the constants and skew functions in the structure equations

(18) 
$$Q_{\lambda} \cdot Q_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} g_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} Q_{\nu} \qquad \Delta(Q_{\nu}) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} f_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} Q_{\lambda} \otimes Q_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} Q_{\nu/\mu} \otimes Q_{\mu}$$

(19) 
$$\Delta(Q_{\tau/\sigma}) = \sum_{\pi,\rho} f^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} Q_{\pi} \otimes Q_{\rho}$$

have combinatorial interpretations (see below). Also, each basis  $\{P_{\lambda}\}$  and  $\{Q_{\lambda}\}$  is almost self-dual in that  $P_{\lambda} = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)}Q_{\lambda}$  and  $g_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = 2^{\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)-\ell(\nu)}f_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ . The algebra  $\Omega$  is generated by the special Q-functions  $q_n = Q_{(n)} := \sum_{i+j=n} h_i e_j$  [8, III,

(8.1)]. This implies that  $S(q_n) = (-1)^n q_n$ , from which we deduce that

$$S(Q_{\rho}) = (-1)^{|\rho|} Q_{\rho}$$

As  $f_{\lambda^-,\rho}^{\lambda} = 0$  unless  $|\rho| = |\lambda/\lambda^-|$ , we may write the algebraic rule (12) as

(20) 
$$Q_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot Q_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum_{\pi,\rho,\lambda^-,\mu^+} (-1)^{|\lambda/\lambda^-|} f^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} g^{\lambda}_{\lambda^-,\rho} g^{\mu^+}_{\mu,\pi} Q_{\mu^+/\lambda^-} ,$$

with a similar identity holding for  $P_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot P_{\tau/\sigma}$  (swapping  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  and  $f \leftrightarrow g$ ).

We formulate two combinatorial versions of (20). Strict partitions  $\lambda, \mu$  are written as shifted Young diagrams (where row i begins in column i). Skew shifted shapes  $\lambda/\mu$  are defined in the obvious manner:

if 
$$\lambda = 431 = \square$$
 and  $\mu = 31 = \square$ , then  $\lambda/\mu = \square = \square$ .

In what follows, tableaux means semi-standard (skew) shifted tableaux on a marked alphabet [8, III.8]. We use shifted versions of the jeu-de-taquin and plactic equivalence from [11] and [12], denoting the corresponding relations by  $\equiv_{sJ}$  and  $\equiv_{sP}$ , respectively. Given tableaux R, S, T, we write  $R * S \equiv_{sP} T$  when representative words u, v, w (built via "mread" [12, §2]) of the corresponding shifted plactic classes satisfy  $uv \equiv_{sP} w$ .

Stembridge notes (following [13, Prop. 8.2]) that for a fixed tableau M of shape  $\mu$ ,

(21) 
$$f_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = \# \{ \text{skew tableaux } L : \text{sh}(L) = \nu/\lambda \text{ and } L \equiv_{\text{sJ}} M \}$$

Serrano has a similar description of these coefficients in terms of  $\equiv_{sp}$ . Fixing a tableau T of shape  $\tau$ , it follows from [12, Cor. 1.15] that the coefficient  $f_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau}$  in  $P_{\pi} \cdot P_{\rho} \cdot P_{\sigma} = \sum_{\tau} f_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} P_{\tau}$ is given by

(22) 
$$f_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} = \#\{(P,R,S) : \operatorname{sh}(P) = \pi, \operatorname{sh}(R) = \rho, \operatorname{sh}(S) = \sigma, \text{ and } P * R * S \equiv_{\operatorname{SP}} T\}.$$

If T is a tableau of shape  $\lambda$ , write  $\ell(T)$  for  $\ell(\lambda)$ . The formula relating the g's and f's combines with (21) and (22) to give our next result.

**Theorem 8** (Skew Littlewood–Richardson rule). Let  $\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau$  be shifted partitions and fix a tableau T of shape  $\tau$ . Then

(23) 
$$Q_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot Q_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum (-1)^{|\lambda/\lambda^-|} 2^{\ell(R^-) + \ell(R^+) + \ell(\lambda^-) + \ell(\mu) - \ell(\lambda) - \ell(\mu^+)} Q_{\mu^+/\lambda^-},$$

the sum taken over quintuples  $(R^-, R^+, S^-, S^+, S)$  with  $R^{\pm}$  of partition shape,  $\operatorname{sh}(S) = \sigma$ ,  $R^- * R^+ * S \equiv_{\operatorname{SP}} T$ ,  $\operatorname{sh}(S^+) = \mu^+/\mu$ ,  $\operatorname{sh}(S^-) = (\lambda/\lambda^-)$ , and  $S^{\pm} \equiv_{\operatorname{SJ}} R^{\pm}$ .

Serrano conjectures an elegant combinatorial description [12, Conj. 2.12 and Cor. 2.13] of the structure constants  $g_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$  in (18): For any tableau M of shape  $\mu$ , he conjectured

(24) 
$$g_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = \# \{ \text{skew tableaux } L : \text{sh}(L) = \nu/\lambda \text{ and } L \equiv_{\text{sp}} M \}.$$

(Note that if S, T are tableaux, then  $S \equiv_{SP} T$  does not necessarily imply that  $S \equiv_{SJ} T$ .) This leads to a conjectural reformulation of Theorem 8 in the spirit of Theorem 6.

**Conjecture 1** (Conjectural Skew Littlewood–Richardson rule). Let  $\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau$  be partitions and fix a tableau T of shape  $\tau$ . Then

(25) 
$$Q_{\mu/\lambda} \cdot Q_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum (-1)^{|S^-|} Q_{\mu^+/\lambda^-} ,$$

the sum taken over triples  $(S^-, S^+, S)$  of skew tableaux of respective shapes  $(\lambda/\lambda^-)$ ,  $\mu^+/\mu$ , and  $\sigma$  such that  $S^- * S^+ * S \equiv_{SP} T$ .

*Proof.* There is a unique shifted tableau R in any shifted plactic class [12, Thm. 2.8]. So the conditions  $S^{\pm} \equiv_{\text{SP}} R^{\pm}$  and  $R^- * R^+ * S \equiv_{\text{SP}} T$  in (22) and (24) may be replaced with the single condition  $S^- * S^+ * S \equiv_{\text{SP}} T$ .

## 5. Skew Littlewood-Richardson rule for noncommutative Schur functions

The Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions was introduced, independently, in [4, 9] as the (graded) dual to the commutative Hopf algebra of quasisymmetric functions. We consider the dual bases (indexed by compositions)  $\{F_{\alpha}\}$  of Gessel's quasisymmetric functions and  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  of noncommutative ribbon Schur functions. The structure constants in

$$(26) \qquad R_{\alpha} \cdot R_{\beta} = \sum_{\gamma} b_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma} \qquad \Delta(R_{\gamma}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} R_{\alpha} \otimes R_{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} R_{\gamma/\beta} \otimes R_{\beta}$$

(27) 
$$\Delta(R_{\tau/\sigma}) = \sum_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma} R_{\pi} \otimes R_{\rho}$$

may be given combinatorial meaning via the descent map  $\mathsf{d} \colon \mathfrak{S}_n \to \Gamma_n$  from permutations to compositions and a section of it  $\mathsf{w} \colon \Gamma_n \to \mathfrak{S}_n$ . These maps are linked via *ribbon diagrams*, edge-connected skew Young diagrams (written in the french style), with no 2×2 subdiagram present. By way of example,

d: 
$$148623795 \rightarrow \longrightarrow 3141$$
, w:  $3141 \rightarrow \longrightarrow 789623451$ .

(In the intermediate step for d(w), new rows in the ribbon begin at descents of w. In the intermediate step for  $w(\alpha)$ , the boxes in the ribbon are filled left-to-right, bottom-to-top.)

A ribbon  $\alpha$  may be extended by a ribbon  $\beta$  in two ways: affixing  $\beta$  to the rightmost edge or bottommost edge of  $\alpha$  (written  $\alpha \triangleleft \beta$  and  $\alpha \triangle \beta$ , respectively):

$$311 \triangleleft 31: \textcircled{} \longrightarrow \rule{} \longrightarrow$$

If a ribbon  $\gamma$  is formed from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in either of these two ways, we write  $\gamma \in \alpha \Diamond \beta$ . The coefficient  $b_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}$  is 1, if  $\gamma \in \alpha \Diamond \beta$ , and 0 otherwise. If \* is the shifted shuffle product on permutations (see (3.4) in [9]), then the coefficient  $c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}$  is the number of words w in  $w(\alpha) * w(\beta)$  such that  $d(w) = \gamma$ . The coefficient  $c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau}$  has the analogous description.

Antipode formulas for the distinguished bases were found, independently, in [2, 9]:

$$S(F_{\alpha}) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} F_{\alpha'}$$
 and  $S(R_{\alpha}) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} R_{\alpha'}$ ,

where  $\alpha'$  is the conjugate of  $\alpha$  (in the sense of french style skew partitions). For example, (3141)' = 211311. The descriptions of the antipode and structure constants in (26) and (27) give a formula for the product of two skew ribbon Schur functions.

**Theorem 9** (Skew Littlewood–Richardson rule). Let  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau$  be compositions. Then

$$R_{\beta/\alpha} \cdot R_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum (-1)^{|\rho|} R_{\beta^+/\alpha^-} ,$$

the sum taken over factorizations  $\alpha \in \alpha^- \Diamond \rho'$ , extensions  $\beta^+ \in \beta \Diamond \pi$ , and words w in the shuffle product  $\mathbf{w}(\pi) * \mathbf{w}(\rho) * \mathbf{w}(\sigma)$  such that  $\mathbf{d}(w) = \tau$ .

**Remark 10.** The nonzero skew ribbon Schur functions  $R_{\beta/\alpha}$  do not correspond to skew ribbon shapes in a simple way. For example, 111 is not a (connected) sub-ribbon of 221, yet  $R_{221/111} = R_2 + R_{11} \neq 0$ . Contrast this with the skew functions  $F_{\beta/\alpha}$ , where  $F_{\beta/\alpha} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\beta \in \omega \Diamond \alpha$  for some ribbon  $\omega$ . That is,  $F_{\beta/\alpha} = F_{\omega}$ . Thus we may view  $\alpha$  as the last  $|\alpha|$  boxes of the ribbon  $\beta$  and  $\beta/\alpha$  as the complementary ribbon  $\omega$ . Interpreting  $F_{\beta/\alpha} \cdot F_{\tau/\sigma}$ alternately as a product of ordinary functions or skew functions yields the curious identity

(28) 
$$F_{\beta/\alpha} \cdot F_{\tau/\sigma} = \sum_{\gamma} c^{\gamma}_{\beta/\alpha,\tau/\sigma} F_{\gamma} = \sum_{\pi,\rho,\alpha^{-},\beta^{+}} (-1)^{|\rho|} b^{\tau/\sigma}_{\pi,\rho} c^{\alpha}_{\alpha^{-},\rho^{\prime}} c^{\beta^{+}}_{\beta,\pi} F_{\beta^{+}/\alpha^{-}}.$$

## 6. Skew k-Pieri rule for k-Schur functions

Fix an integer  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\Lambda_{(k)}$  denote the Hopf subalgebra of the Hopf algebra of symmetric functions generated by the homogeneous symmetric functions  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_k$ . Let  $\Lambda^{(k)}$  denote the Hopf-dual quotient Hopf algebra of symmetric functions. We consider the dual bases  $\{s_{\lambda}^{(k)}\} \subset \Lambda_{(k)}$  and  $\{F_{\lambda}^{(k)}\} \subset \Lambda^{(k)}$  of k-Schur functions and dual k-Schur functions of [5, 7], also called strong Schur functions and weak Schur functions in [5]. The k-Schur functions were first introduced by Lapointe, Lascoux, and Morse in the context of Macdonald polynomials, and were later shown by Lam to represent Schubert classes in the affine Grassmannian of  $SL(k+1, \mathbb{C})$ . We refer the reader to the references in [5].

Here  $\lambda$  varies over all k-bounded partitions, that is, those partitions satisfying  $\lambda_1 \leq k$ . There is an involution  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{\omega_k}$  on k-bounded partitions called *k-conjugation*. We have

$$S(s_{\lambda}^{(k)}) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} s_{\lambda^{\omega_k}}^{(k)}$$
 and  $S(F_{\lambda}^{(k)}) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} F_{\lambda^{\omega_k}}^{(k)}$ .

If  $\lambda = (r)$  is a one-part partition, then  $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = h_r$  is a homogeneous symmetric function. We have the k-Pieri and dual k-Pieri rules [5, 7] (called weak and strong Pieri rules in [5])

(29) 
$$s_{\lambda}^{(k)} \cdot h_r = \sum_{\lambda \rightsquigarrow_r \mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\lambda}^{(k)} \cdot h_r = \sum_{\lambda \to_r \mu} F_{\mu}^{(k)}$$

for  $r \leq k$ . Here  $\lambda \rightsquigarrow_r \mu$  denotes an *r*-weak strip connecting  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ —present if and only if both  $\mu/\lambda$  and  $\mu^{\omega_k}/\lambda^{\omega_k}$  are horizontal *r*-strips. There is a bijection between *k*-bounded partitions and the cosets  $\tilde{S}_n/S_n$  of the symmetric group  $S_n$  in the affine symmetric group  $S_n$ , where n = k + 1. In this setting, *r*-weak strips are certain intervals in the weak order of  $\tilde{S}_n/S_n$  of rank *k*. The notation  $\lambda \to_r \mu$  denotes an *r*-strong strip as introduced in [5]. The *r*-strong strips correspond to certain (decorated) intervals in the strong (Bruhat) order of  $\tilde{S}_n/S_n$  of rank *k*. We will not give the precise definition here, but remark that the decoration implies that there may be distinct strong strips  $\lambda \to_r \mu$  and  $(\lambda \to_r \mu)'$  between the same two partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , so that the second Pieri rule of (29) may have multiplicities.

We define skew functions  $s_{\lambda/\mu}^{(k)}$  and  $F_{\lambda/\mu}^{(k)}$  using (7) and (8). While analogs of (16) may be formulated for these functions, analogs of the more satisfactory (17) are not available, for want of a combinatorial description of the structure constants in  $\Lambda^{(k)}$ . We settle for skew Pieri rules instead.

**Theorem 11** (Skew k-Pieri (or weak Pieri) rule). For k-bounded partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , and  $r \leq k$ ,

$$s_{\mu/\lambda}^{(k)} \cdot h_r = \sum_{i+j=r} (-1)^j \sum_{\substack{\mu \rightsquigarrow_i \mu^+ \\ (\lambda^-)^{\omega_k} \rightsquigarrow_j \lambda^{\omega_k}}} s_{\mu^+/\lambda^-}^{(k)}$$

*Proof.* In Theorem 3, take  $L_{\tau/\sigma} = h_r$ . For  $c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau}$ , use the formula  $\Delta(h_r) = \sum_{i+j=r} h_i \otimes h_j$ , and for  $b_{\lambda^-,\rho^{\omega_k}}^{\lambda}$  and  $b_{\mu,\pi}^{\mu^+}$ , use (29).

**Theorem 12** (Skew dual k-Pieri (or strong Pieri) rule). For k-bounded partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , and  $r \leq k$ ,

$$F_{\lambda/\mu}^{(k)} \cdot h_r = \sum_{i+j=r} (-1)^j \sum_{\substack{\lambda \to i \ \lambda^+ \\ (\mu^-)^{\omega_k} \to_j \mu^{\omega_k}}} F_{\lambda^+/\mu^-}^{(k)}$$

*Proof.* Identical to the proof of Theorem 11.

**Remark 13.** Theorems 11 and 12 are direct generalizations of [1, Thm. 3.2]. However, the combinatorial proof in [1] is unavailable here and Hopf algebraic methods provide the only approach (at least for Theorem 11). Specifically, the Assaf–McNamara proof rested on viewing  $s_{\lambda/\mu}$  as the generating function for skew tableaux of shape  $\lambda/\mu$ . While there is an explicit combinatorial description of  $F_{\lambda/\mu}^{(k)}$  in terms of the weak tableaux of [5], there is only a conjectural combinatorial description of  $s_{\lambda/\mu}^{(k)}$  [5, Conj. 4.18(3)].

As an example, let  $k = 2, r = 2, \mu = (2, 1, 1)$ , and  $\lambda = (1)$ . Then Theorem 11 states that

$$s_{211/1}^{(2)} \cdot h_2 = s_{2211/1}^{(2)} - s_{2111}^{(2)},$$

which one can verify using (29) and the expansions  $s_{211/1}^{(2)} = s_{21}^{(2)} + s_{111}^{(2)}$  and  $s_{2211/1}^{(2)} = 2 s_{2111}^{(2)} + s_{221}^{(2)}$ . Theorem 12 states that

$$F_{211/1}^{(2)} \cdot h_2 = 3 F_{222/1}^{(2)} + 5 F_{2211/1}^{(2)} + 3 F_{21111/1}^{(2)} + 3 F_{111111/1}^{(2)} - 2 F_{221}^{(2)} - 3 F_{2111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{11111}^{(2)} + 3 F_{11111/1}^{(2)} - 2 F_{221}^{(2)} - 3 F_{2111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{11111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{111111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{111111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{11111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{111111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{11111}^{(2)} - 2 F_{11111}^{(2$$

One can verify that both sides are equal to  $6 F_{221}^{(2)} + 5 F_{2111}^{(2)} + 4 F_{11111}^{(2)}$ .

#### THOMAS LAM, AARON LAUVE, AND FRANK SOTTILE

### 7. Skew Pieri rule for affine Grassmannian of the symplectic group

Fix  $n \geq 1$ . The Hopf algebra  $\Omega$  of Section 4 contains a Hopf subalgebra  $\Omega_{(n)}$  generated by the Schur *P*-functions  $P_1, P_3, \ldots, P_{2n-1}$ . In [6], it was shown that  $\Omega_{(n)}$  is isomorphic to the homology ring  $H_*(Gr_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})})$  of the affine Grassmannian of the symplectic group  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ . A distinguished basis  $\{P_w^{(n)}\} \subset \Omega_{(n)}$ , representing the Schubert basis, was studied there. The symmetric functions  $P_w^{(n)}$  are shifted versions of the *k*-Schur functions of Section 6.

The indexing set for the basis  $\{P_w^{(n)}\}$  is the set  $\widetilde{C}_n^0$  of affine Grassmannian type C permutations: they are the minimal length coset representatives of  $C_n$  in  $\widetilde{C}_n$ . A lower Bruhat order ideal  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \widetilde{C}_n$  of the affine type C Weyl group is defined in [6]. Let  $\mathcal{Z}_j \subset \mathcal{Z}$  denote those  $v \in \mathcal{Z}$  with length  $\ell(v) = j$ . For each  $v \in \mathcal{Z}$ , there is a nonnegative integer  $c(v) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , called the *number of components* of v. We note that  $c(\mathrm{id}) = 0$ . With this notation, for each  $1 \leq j \leq 2n - 1$ , we have the Pieri rule [6, Thms. 1.3 and 1.4]

(30) 
$$P_w^{(n)} \cdot P_j = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{Z}_j} 2^{c(v)-1} P_{vw}^{(n)},$$

where the sum is over all  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_j$  such that  $vw \in \widetilde{C}_n^0$ , and  $\ell(vw) = \ell(v) + \ell(w)$ .

It follows from the discussion in Section 4 that the antipode acts on the  $P_j$  by  $S(P_j) = (-1)^j P_j$ . We define  $P_{w/v}^{(n)}$  using (7).

**Theorem 14** (Skew Pieri rule). For  $w, v \in \widetilde{C}_n^0$ , and  $r \leq 2n - 1$ ,

$$P_{w/v}^{(n)} \cdot P_r = \sum_{i+j=r} (-1)^j \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_i \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}_j}} 2^{c(u)+c(z)-1} P_{uw/z^{-1}v}^{(n)},$$

where the sum is over all  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_i$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_j$  such that  $uw, z^{-1}v \in \widetilde{C}_n^0$ ,  $\ell(uw) = \ell(u) + \ell(w)$ , and  $\ell(z^{-1}v) + \ell(z) = \ell(v)$ .

*Proof.* In Theorem 3, take  $L_{\tau/\sigma} = P_r$  and use (30). For the constants  $c^{\tau}_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}$ , use the formula

$$\Delta(P_r) = 1 \otimes P_r + P_r \otimes 1 + 2 \sum_{0 < j < r} P_{r-j} \otimes P_j.$$

If 0 < j < r, the product  $c_{\pi,\rho,\sigma}^{\tau} b_{\lambda^{-},\rho'}^{\lambda} b_{\mu,\pi}^{\mu^{+}}$  in (12) becomes  $2 \cdot 2^{c(u)-1} \cdot 2^{c(z)-1} = 2^{c(u)+c(z)-1}$ . If j = 0 (resp., j = r), it becomes  $1 \cdot 2^{c(u)-1} \cdot 1 = 2^{c(u)+c(z)-1}$  (resp.,  $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{c(z)-1} = 2^{c(u)+c(z)-1}$ ).  $\Box$ 

#### References

- 1. Sami H. Assaf and Peter R. McNamara, A Pieri rule for skew shapes, arXiv:0908.0345.
- 2. Richard Ehrenborg, On posets and Hopf algebras, Adv. Math. 119 (1996), no. 1, 1-25.
- William Fulton, Young tableaux, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- Israel M. Gelfand, Daniel Krob, Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, Vladimir S. Retakh, and Jean-Yves Thibon, Noncommutative symmetric functions, Adv. Math. 112 (1995), no. 2, 218–348.
- 5. Thomas Lam, Luc Lapointe, Jennifer Morse, and Mark Shimozono, Affine insertion and Pieri rules for the affine Grassmannian, Memoirs of the AMS, to appear.
- 6. Thomas Lam, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono, Schubert Polynomials for the affine Grassmannian of the symplectic group, Math. Z., to appear.

10

- Luc Lapointe and Jennifer Morse, A k-tableau characterization of k-Schur functions, Adv. Math. 213 (2007), no. 1, 183–204.
- 8. I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- Claudia Malvenuto and Christophe Reutenauer, Duality between quasi-symmetric functions and the Solomon descent algebra, J. Algebra 177 (1995), no. 3, 967–982.
- Susan Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 82, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1993.
- Bruce E. Sagan, Shifted tableaux, Schur Q-functions, and a conjecture of R. Stanley, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 45 (1987), no. 1, 62–103.
- 12. Luis Serrano, The shifted plactic monoid, arXiv:0811.2057.
- John R. Stembridge, Shifted tableaux and the projective representations of symmetric groups, Adv. Math. 74 (1989), no. 1, 87–134.
- 14. A. V. Zelevinsky, A generalization of the Littlewood-Richardson rule and the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence, J. Algebra 69 (1981), no. 1, 82–94.
- 15. Andrey V. Zelevinsky, *Representations of finite classical groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 869, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981, A Hopf algebra approach.

(Lam) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109 E-mail address: tfylam@umich.edu URL: http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~tfylam/

(Lauve) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843 *E-mail address*: lauve@math.tamu.edu *URL*: http://www.math.tamu.edu/~lauve

(Sottile) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843 *E-mail address:* sottile@math.tamu.edu *URL:* http://www.math.tamu.edu/~sottile/