

## Weighted Markov-Type Estimates for the Derivatives of Constrained Polynomials on $[0, \infty)$

T. ERDÉLYI\*

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
13-15 Reáltanoda utca, Budapest H-1053, Hungary*

*Communicated by V. Totik*

Received September 11, 1987

Throughout this paper  $c_1(\cdot), c_2(\cdot), \dots$  will denote positive constants depending only on the values given in the parenthesis. Let  $\Pi_n$  be the set of all real algebraic polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . A weaker version of an inequality of the brothers Markov (see [8, 9]) asserts that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{A \leq x \leq B} |p^{(m)}(x)| \\ & \leq \left( \frac{2n^2}{B-A} \right)^m \max_{A \leq x \leq B} |p(x)| \quad (p \in \Pi_n; n, m \geq 1). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For  $0 < r \leq (B-A)/2$  ( $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ ) let

$$D_1(A, B, r)^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - (A+r)| < r\}$$

and denote by  $S_n^k(A, B, r)^+$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) the set of those polynomials from  $\Pi_n$  which have at most  $k$  roots in  $D_1(A, B, r)^+$ . From (40) of [2], by a simple linear transformation we obtain

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $0 < r \leq (B-A)/2$ ,  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $n, m \geq 1$ , and  $s \in S_n^k(A, B, r)^+$ . Then*

$$|s^{(m)}(A)| \leq c_1(m) \left( \frac{n(k+1)^2}{\sqrt{r(B-A)}} \right)^m \max_{A \leq x \leq B} |s(x)|.$$

Let

$$\|p\|_a := \sup_{0 \leq x < \infty} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)| \quad (p \in \Pi_n, a > 0), \quad (2)$$

$$D_2(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z-r| < r\} \quad (r > 0), \quad (3)$$

$$D_3(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z > r\} \quad (4)$$

\* Research supported by the Hungarian Foundation for Scientific Research under Grant 1801.

and denote by  $W_n^k(r)$  and  $V_n^k(r)$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $r \geq 0$ ) the set of those polynomials from  $\Pi_n$  which have at most  $k$  roots in  $D_2(r)$  and  $D_3(r)$ , respectively. The main purpose of this paper is to give Markov-type estimates for the derivatives of polynomials from  $\Pi_n$ ,  $W_n^k(r)$ , and  $V_n^0(r)$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $r > 0$ ) on  $[0, \infty)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$ . We shall prove the following theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and  $a > 0$ . Then we have*

$$\|p^{(m)}\|_a \leq c_2(a, m)(K_n(a))^m \|p\|_a \quad (p \in \Pi_n),$$

where

$$K_n(a) = \begin{cases} n^{2-1/a} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < a < \infty \\ \log^2 n & \text{if } a = \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , and  $a > 0$ . Then we have*

$$\|p^{(m)}\|_a \leq c_3(a, m)((k+1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|p\|_a \quad (p \in W_n^k(r)),$$

where

$$L_n(a, r) = \begin{cases} n^{2-1/a} & (0 \leq r \leq n^{1/a-2}) \\ \frac{n^{1-1/(2a)}}{\sqrt{r}} & (n^{1/a-2} \leq r \leq n^{1/a}) \\ n^{1-1/a} & (n^{1/a} \leq r < \infty) \end{cases}$$

if  $1 \leq a < \infty$ ,

$$L_n(a, r) = \begin{cases} n^{2-1/a} & (0 \leq r \leq n^{1/a-2}) \\ \frac{n^{1-1/(2a)}}{\sqrt{r}} & (n^{1/a-2} \leq r \leq n^{2-1/a}) \\ 1 & (n^{2-1/a} \leq r < \infty) \end{cases}$$

if  $\frac{1}{2} < a \leq 1$ ,

$$L_n(a, r) = \begin{cases} \log^2 n & (0 \leq r \leq \log^{-2} n) \\ \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{r}} & (\log^{-2} n \leq r \leq \log^2 n) \\ 1 & (\log^2 n \leq r < \infty) \end{cases}$$

if  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ , and

$$L_n(a, r) = 1 \quad \text{if } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}.$$

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $k = 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , and  $0 < a \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then up to the constant depending only on  $a$  and  $m$  Theorems 1 and 2 are sharp.*

*Conjecture 1.* Up to the constant  $c_3(a, m)$  Theorem 2 is sharp even in the case when  $k = 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , and  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $n, m \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , and  $a > 0$ . Then we have*

$$\|p^{(m)}\|_a \leq c_4(a, m)(G_n(a, r))^m \|p\|_a \quad (p \in V_n^0(r)),$$

where

$$G_n(a, r) = \begin{cases} r^{2a-1} + n^{1-1/a} + 1 & (0 \leq r \leq n^{1/a}) \\ n^{2-1/a} & (n^{1/a} < r < \infty) \end{cases}$$

when  $\frac{1}{2} < a < \infty$ ,

$$G_n(\frac{1}{2}, r) = \begin{cases} \log^2(r+2) & (0 \leq r \leq n^2) \\ \log^2(n+1) & (n^2 < r < \infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_n(a, r) = 1 \quad \text{when } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}.$$

**THEOREM 5.** *For all  $0 < a \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , up to the constant  $c_2(a, m)$  Theorem 4 is sharp.*

*Conjecture 2.* Up to the constant  $c_4(a, m)$  Theorem 4 is sharp even for  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

(To see this it would be sufficient to prove that Theorem 1 is sharp when  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ .)

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It is sufficient to prove the theorem when  $m = 1$ , from this the general case follows by induction on  $m$ . We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $1 \leq a < \infty$ . Denote the integer part of  $a$  by  $[a]$ . A close inspection of its derivative shows that

$$F(x) := \left(1 - \frac{x^{[a]}}{n^{[a]r/a}}\right)^{2n} \exp(x^e)$$

is monotonically decreasing in  $[0, n^{1/a}]$ ; therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-x^a) &\geq q_{n,y}(x) := \frac{\exp(-y^a)}{(1 - y^{[a]/n^{[a]/a}})^{2n}} \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{x^{[a]}}{n^{[a]/a}}\right)^{2n} \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq y \leq x \leq n^{1/a}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now let  $p \in \Pi_n$  be arbitrary. Then  $s := pq_{n,y} \in \Pi_{(2[a]+1)n}$  ( $0 \leq y \leq n^{1/a}$ ), so by (1) and (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |s'(y)| &\leq \frac{2(2[a]+1)^2 n^2}{(1/2)n^{1/a}} \max_{y \leq x \leq y + (1/2)n^{1/a}} |p(x) q_{n,y}(x)| \\ &\leq c_5(a)n^{2-1/a} \max_{y \leq x \leq y + (1/2)n^{1/a}} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)| \\ &\leq c_5(a)n^{2-1/a} \|p\|_a \quad (0 \leq y \leq \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Further a simple calculation shows that

$$|q'_{n,y}(y)| \leq c_6(a)n^{1-1/a} \exp(-y^a) \quad (0 \leq y \leq \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a}). \quad (7)$$

Hence and from (6)

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(y) \exp(-y^a)| &= |p'(y) q_{n,y}(y)| \\ &\leq |s'(y)| + |p(y) q'_{n,y}(y)| \\ &\leq c_5(a)n^{2-1/a} \|p\|_a \\ &\quad + c_6(a)n^{1-1/a} |p(y) \exp(-y^a)| \\ &\leq c_7(a)n^{2-1/a} \|p\|_a \quad (p \in \Pi_n, 0 \leq y \leq \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Finally by (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq \exp(-y^a) \frac{2n^2}{y} \max_{0 \leq x \leq y} |p(x)| \\ &\leq 4n^{2-1/a} \max_{0 \leq x \leq y} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)| \\ &\leq 4n^{2-1/a} \|p\|_a \quad (p \in \Pi_n, \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a} \leq y < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now (8) and (9) give Theorem 1 in this case.

Case 2.  $0 < a \leq 1$ . We need the following Markov-type inequality,

$$\sup_{|x| < \infty} |f'(x) \exp(-|x|^b)| \leq c_8(b) H_n(b) \sup_{|x| < \infty} |f(x) \exp(-|x|^b)|$$

$$(f \in \Pi_{2n}, n \geq 2, b > 0), \quad (10)$$

where

$$H_n(b) = \begin{cases} n^{1-1/b} & \text{if } 1 \leq b < \infty \\ \log n & \text{if } b = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < b < 1. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

(See G. Freud [4] ( $2 \leq b < \infty$ ), A. L. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky [5] ( $1 < b < 2$ ), and P. Nevai and V. Totik [11] ( $0 < b \leq 1$ )). Now let  $g \in \Pi_n$  be arbitrary and  $f(x) = g(x^2) \in \Pi_{2n}$ . Using (10) and the substitutions  $z = x^2$  and  $a = b/2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |g'(0)| &= \frac{1}{2} |f''(0)| \\ &\leq c_9(b) (H_n(b))^2 \sup_{|x| < \infty} |f(x) \exp(-|x|^b)| \\ &\leq c_{10}(a) K_n(a) \sup_{0 \leq z < \infty} |g(z) \exp(-z^{a/2})| \\ &\leq c_{10}(a) K_n(a) \|g\|_a \quad (0 < a < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let  $p \in \Pi_n$  and  $y \in [0, \infty)$  be arbitrary. Consider the polynomial  $g(x) := p(x+y) \in \Pi_n$ . Applying (12) to  $g$  and using that  $x^a + y^a \geq (x+y)^a$  ( $x, y \geq 0, 0 \leq a \leq 1$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(y)| &= |g'(0)| \\ &\leq c_{10}(a) K_n(a) \|g\|_a \\ &\leq c_{10}(a) K_n(a) \exp(y^a) \sup_{x \geq 0} |p(x+y) \exp(-(x+y)^a)| \\ &\leq c_{10}(a) K_n(a) \exp(y^a) \|p\|_a, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which yields Theorem 1 in this case as well. ■

*Note 1.* In case  $a = 1$  Theorem 2 was proved by G. Szegő [12], but his method does not work in the general case.

Before proving Theorem 2 we establish a Bernstein-type estimate on  $[0, \infty)$  with respect to the norm  $\|p\|_a$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $m \geq 1$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $y > 0$ . Then

$$|p^{(m)}(y) \exp(-y^a)| \leq c_{11}(a, m)(H_n(2a))^m y^{-m/2} \|p\|_a \quad (p \in \Pi_n),$$

where  $H_n(b)$  is defined by (11) for  $b > 0$ .

*Proof.* From (10), by induction on  $m$  it is straightforward that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|x| < \infty} |f^{(m)}(x) \exp(-|x|^b)| \\ & \leq c_{12}(b, m)(H_n(b))^m \sup_{|x| < \infty} |f(x) \exp(-|x|^b)| \quad (f \in \Pi_{2n}, 0 < b < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We prove the lemma by induction on  $m$ . The statement holds for  $m = 0$ . Now suppose that it holds for all  $0 \leq \mu \leq m - 1$ . Let  $p \in \Pi_n$  be arbitrary and let  $f(x) := p(x^2) \in \Pi_{2n}$ . It is easy to check that with suitable constants  $c_{\mu, \nu, m}$  depending only on  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $m$  we have

$$f^{(m)}(x) = 2^m x^m p^{(m)}(x^2) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \nu \leq \mu \leq m-1 \\ 2\mu - \nu \leq m}} c_{\mu, \nu, m} x^\nu p^{(\mu)}(x^2); \quad (15)$$

thus with the substitution  $y = x^2$  and  $b = 2a$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(m)}(x) \exp(-|x|^b) &= 2^m y^{m/2} p^{(m)}(y) \exp(-y^a) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \nu \leq \mu \leq m-1 \\ 2\mu - \nu \leq m}} c_{\mu, \nu, m} y^{\nu/2} p^{(\mu)}(y) \exp(-y^a). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here by the induction assumption

$$\begin{aligned} & |y^{\nu/2} p^{(\mu)}(y) \exp(-y^a)| \\ &= |y^{\mu/2} p^{(\mu)} \exp(-y^b)| y^{(\nu - \mu)/2} \\ &\leq c_{11}(a, \mu)(H_n(2a))^\mu \|p\|_a (H_n(2a))^{\mu - \nu} \\ &\leq c_{11}(a, \mu)(H_n(2a))^m \|p\|_a \\ &(0 \leq \nu \leq \mu \leq m - 1, 2\mu - \nu \leq m, y \geq (H_n(2a))^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using the substitutions  $y = x^2$ ,  $b = 2a$ , and recalling that  $f(x) = p(x^2) \in \Pi_{2n}$ , from (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |f^{(m)}(x) \exp(-|x|^b)| \\ & \leq c_{12}(b, m)(H_n(2a))^m \|p\|_a \quad (y \geq 0). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now (16), (17), and (18) give the desired result when  $y \geq (H_n(2a))^{-2}$ . If  $0 < y < (H_n(2a))^{-2}$ , then by Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} |p^{(m)}(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq c_2(a, m)(K_n(a))^m \|p\|_a \\ &\leq c_2(a, m)(H_n(2a))^m y^{-m;2} \|p\|_a. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus the proof of the lemma is complete. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We distinguish three cases.

*Case 1.*  $a \geq 1$ . We shall use the notations introduced in the proof of Theorem 1. Observe that  $q_{n,y}$  ( $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}n^{1/a}$ ) has all its zeros outside the circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < n^{1/a}\}$ . Hence by an observation of G. G. Lorentz  $q_{n,y}$  is of the form

$$q_{n,y}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j(x - n^{1/a})^j (n^{1/a} - x)^{n-j} \quad \text{with all } a_j \geq 0,$$

so from Theorem B of [6], by a linear transformation we get

$$\begin{aligned} |q_{n,y}^{(j)}(y)| &\leq c_{13}(a, j)(n^{1-1/a})^j \\ &\quad \times \max_{y \leq x \leq y + (1/2)n^{1/a}} |q_{n,y}(x)| \\ &= c_{13}(a, j)(n^{1-1/a})^j \\ &\quad \times \exp(-y^a) \quad (0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}n^{1/a}, j \geq 0). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

To prove Theorem 2 we proceed by induction on  $m$ . In case of  $m = 0$  the statement is obvious. Suppose that the theorem holds for  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . Let  $0 \leq y \leq r$ ,  $n^{1/a-2} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4}n^{1/a}$ , and  $p \in W_n^k(r)$ . Then  $s := pq_{n,y} \in S_{(2[a]+1)n}^k(y, y + \frac{1}{2}n^{1/a}, r/2)$ , so using Theorem A and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s^{(m)}(y)| &\leq c_{14}(a, m) \left( \frac{n^{1-1/(2a)}(k+1)^2}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^m \\ &\quad \times \max_{y \leq x \leq y + (1/2)n^{1/a}} |p(x) q_{n,y}(x)| \\ &\leq c_{14}(a, m)((k+1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \\ &\quad \times \max_{y \leq x \leq y + (1/2)n^{1/a}} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)| \\ &\leq c_{14}(a, m)((k+1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|p\|_a \\ &\quad (0 \leq y \leq r, n^{1/a-2} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4}n^{1/a}). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Now by (5), (20), (21), and the induction assumption we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p^{(m)}(y) \exp(-y^a)| &= |p^{(m)}(y) q_{n,y}(y)| \\
 &\leq |(pq_{n,y})^{(m)}(y)| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} |p^{(m-j)}(y) q_{n,y}^{(j)}(y)| \\
 &\leq c_{14}(a, m) ((k+1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|p\|_a \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} \exp(y^a) c_3(a, m-j) \\
 &\quad \times ((k+1)^2 L_n(a, r))^{m-j} \|p\|_a \\
 &\quad \times c_{13}(a, j) (n^{1-1/a})^j \exp(-y^a) \\
 &\leq c_{15}(a, m) ((k+1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|p\|_a \\
 &\quad (p \in W_n^k(r), 0 \leq y \leq r, n^{1/a-2} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4} n^{1/a}). \quad (22)
 \end{aligned}$$

Further by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p^{(m)}(y) \exp(-y^a)| \\
 &\leq c_{16}(a, m) (H_n(2a))^m r^{-m/2} \|p\|_a \\
 &= c_{16}(a, m) (L_n(a, m))^m \|p\|_a \quad (p \in \Pi_n, r \leq y < \infty). \quad (23)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now (22) and (23) give the theorem when  $n^{1/a-2} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4} n^{1/a}$ . If  $0 \leq r \leq n^{1/a-2}$ , then Theorem 1 gives the desired result. If  $\frac{1}{4} n^{1/a} \leq r < \infty$ , then using the relation  $W_n^k(r) \subset W_n^k(\frac{1}{4} n^{1/a})$  and the just proved part of the theorem, we get the statement for all  $r \geq \frac{1}{4} n^{1/a}$ .

Case 2.  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$ . We need a number of lemmas.

LEMMA 2. For all  $n \geq 2$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \infty$  there exist polynomials  $Q_{n,a} \in \Pi_N$  such that

$$c_{17}(a) \leq Q_{n,a}(y) \exp(y^a) \leq c_{18}(a) \quad (0 \leq y \leq n^{1/a}) \quad (24)$$

and

$$1 \leq N = N(n) := \begin{cases} [c_{19}(a)n] & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < a < \infty \\ [(c_{19}(a)n \log n)] & \text{if } a = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

hold with suitable  $c_{17}(a)$ ,  $c_{18}(a)$ , and  $c_{19}(a)$ .



By using the substitutions  $y = x^2$  and  $b = 2a$ , this is a trivial consequence of the corresponding result for the interval  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and weight function  $\exp(-|x|^b)$  ( $1 \leq b < \infty$ ); see Theorem 1.1 of [5] when  $1 < b < \infty$ , and the proof of Theorem 3 of [11] when  $b = 1$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \infty$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $0 \neq v \in \Pi_l$  and*

$$|v(0)| \geq c_{20}(a) \max_{0 \leq x \leq n^{1/a}} |v(x)| \quad (26)$$

*then  $v$  has at most  $c_{21}(a) \ln^{-1/(2a)} \sqrt{r}$  roots (counting multiplicities) in  $[0, r]$ .*

Using Lemma 1 of [2] and the substitution  $x = \frac{1}{2} n^{1/a} (1 + \cos t)$ , we obtain Lemma 3 at once.

LEMMA 4. *If  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \infty$ ,  $n, j \geq 0$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $p \in \Pi_n$  has all its zeros in  $[2r, \infty)$  and  $|p(0)| = \|p\|_a$ , then*

$$|p^{(j)}(0)| \leq c_{22}(a, j) \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^j \|p\|_a,$$

where  $M = Nn^{-1/(2a)}$  and  $N$  is defined by (25).

*Proof.* Let  $\deg p = l \leq n$  and denote the roots of  $p$  by  $(2r \leq) x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_l (< \infty)$ . Observe that  $v := pQ_{n,a} \in \Pi_{n+N}$  satisfies (26) where  $Q_{n,a}$  and  $N$  are defined by Lemma 2. With the notation

$$I_v = [2rv^4, 2r(v+1)^4] \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots)$$

from Lemma 3 we deduce that  $v$  and hence  $p$  as well have at most  $c_{21}(a)(n+N)n^{-1/(2a)} \sqrt{2r} (v+1)^2$  roots (counting multiplicities) in  $I_v$ . Hence and from (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|p^{(j)}(0)|}{\|p\|_a} &= \frac{|p^{(j)}(0)|}{|p(0)|} \leq \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^l \frac{1}{x_\mu} \right)^j \leq \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x_\mu \in I_v} \frac{1}{x_\mu} \right)^j \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} c_{21}(a)(n+N)n^{-1/(2a)} \sqrt{r} (v+1)^2 \frac{1}{2rv^4} \right)^j \\ &\leq \left( \left( 2\sqrt{2} c_{21}(a) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v^2} \right) \frac{(n+N)n^{-1/(2a)}}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^j \\ &\leq c_{22}(a, j) \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^j. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. If  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \infty$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2$  ( $M$  is defined in Lemma 4),  $p \in \Pi_n$  has all its zeros in  $[2r, \infty)$ , and  $|p(0)| = \|p\|_a$ , then

$$|p(0)| \leq 2 |p(x)| \quad \left( x \in \left[ 0, \frac{\sqrt{r}}{c_{23}(a)M} \right] \subset [0, 1] \right)$$

with a suitable  $c_{23}(a)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c_{23}(a) := \max\{2c_{22}(a, 1), 1\}$  and

$$y := \frac{\sqrt{r}}{c_{23}(a)M}. \quad (27)$$

Since  $M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2$ , we have

$$0 < y \leq \min\{r, 1\}. \quad (28)$$

As  $|p'(x)|$  is monotonically decreasing in  $(-\infty, 2r]$ , Lemma 4 implies

$$|p'(\xi)| \leq |p'(0)| \leq \frac{c_{22}(a, 1)M}{\sqrt{r}} \|p\|_a \quad (0 \leq \xi \leq 2r). \quad (29)$$

From the mean value theorem, (27), and (28) we deduce that there exists a  $\xi_1 \in (0, y)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p(0) - p(y)| &= y |p'(\xi_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{r}}{c_{23}(a)M} \frac{c_{22}(a, 1)M}{\sqrt{r}} \|p\|_a \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|p\|_a = \frac{1}{2} |p(0)|; \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

hence

$$2 |p(y)| \geq |p(0)|. \quad (31)$$

As  $|p(x)|$  is monotonically decreasing in  $(-\infty, 2r]$ , (27) and (31) give the desired result. ■

LEMMA 6. Let  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \infty$ ,  $n, m \geq 1$ ,  $M^{-2} \leq n \leq M^2$  ( $M$  is defined in Lemma 4),  $s = pq$  where  $p \in \Pi_n$  has all its zeros in  $[2r, \infty)$ ,  $|p(0)| = \|p\|_a$ , and  $q \in \Pi_l$ . Then

$$|s^{(m)}(0)| \leq c_{24}(a, m) \left( \frac{M(l+1)^2}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^m \|s\|_a. \quad (32)$$

*Proof.* For the sake of brevity let

$$I = I(n, a, r) := \left[ 0, \frac{\sqrt{r}}{c_{23}(a)M} \right] \subset [0, 1]. \tag{33}$$

Applying Markov's inequality to  $q \in \Pi_l$  on  $I$ , we get

$$|q^{(m-j)}(0)| \leq \left( \frac{2c_{23}(a)M}{\sqrt{r}} l^2 \right)^{m-j} |q(x_1)| \quad (0 \leq j \leq m), \tag{34}$$

where

$$x_1 \in I \text{ is such that } |q(x_1)| = \max_{x \in I} |q(x)|. \tag{35}$$

Therefore by Lemmas 4, 5, (34), and (35) we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |s^{(m)}(0)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} |p^{(j)}(0) q^{(m-j)}(0)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} c_{22}(a, j) \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^j \|p\|_a \left( \frac{2c_{23}(a)Ml^2}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^{m-j} |q(x_1)| \\ &\leq c_{25}(a, m) \left( \frac{M(l+1)^2}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^m |p(x_1) q(x_1)| \\ &\leq ec_{25}(a, m) \left( \frac{M(l+1)^2}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^m \|s\|_a. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7. Let  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \infty$ ,  $n, m \geq 1$ ,  $M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2$  ( $M$  is defined in Lemma 4),  $s = pq$  where  $p \in \Pi_n$  has all its zeros in  $[2r, \infty)$ , and  $q \in \Pi_l$  has all its zeros in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq \text{Re } z \leq 2r\}$ . Then inequality (32) holds.

*Proof.* Because of the conditions prescribed for the roots of  $p$  and  $q$ ,

$$|s(x)| \text{ is monotonically decreasing in } (-\infty, 0]. \tag{36}$$

Thus there exists exactly one  $y \in (-\infty, 0]$  such that

$$|s(y)| = \|s\|_a. \tag{37}$$

Now let

$$\tilde{s}(x) := s(x + y). \tag{38}$$

Then

$$\tilde{s} = \tilde{p}\tilde{q}, \tag{39}$$

where  $\tilde{p}(x) = p(x + y) \in \Pi_n$  and  $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x + y) \in \Pi_l$  have all their zeros in  $[2r - y, \infty)$  and  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -y \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 2r - y\}$ , respectively. From (36), (37), and (38) we easily deduce

$$|\tilde{s}(0)| = |s(y)| = \|s\|_a = \|\tilde{s}\|_a. \tag{40}$$

From (39) it is clear that

$$|\tilde{p}(0)| \geq |\tilde{p}(x)| \geq |\tilde{p}(x) \exp(-x^a)| \quad (0 \leq x \leq 4r - 2y) \tag{41}$$

and

$$|\tilde{q}(0)| \leq |\tilde{q}(x)| \quad (4r - 2y \leq x < \infty). \tag{42}$$

By (39), (40), and (42) it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{p}(0)| &= \frac{|\tilde{s}(0)|}{|\tilde{q}(0)|} \geq \frac{|\tilde{s}(x) \exp(-x^a)|}{|\tilde{q}(x)|} \\ &= |\tilde{p}(x) \exp(-x^a)| \quad (4r - 2y \leq x < \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Now (41) and (43) yield

$$|\tilde{p}(0)| = \|\tilde{p}\|_a. \tag{44}$$

Because of (39),  $y \leq 0$ , and (44), Lemma 6 can be applied to  $\tilde{s} = \tilde{p}\tilde{q}$ ; thus also using (38) and (40) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |s^{(m)}(y)| &= |\tilde{s}^{(m)}(0)| \leq c_{24}(a, m) \left(\frac{M(l+1)^2}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^m \|\tilde{s}\|_a \\ &= c_{24}(a, m) \left(\frac{M(l+1)^2}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^m \|s\|_a \quad (M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

By Gauss' Theorem  $s^{(m)}(x)$  has all its zeros in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ ; hence  $y \leq 0$  yields

$$|s^{(m)}(0)| \leq |s^{(m)}(y)| \tag{46}$$

which together with (45) gives the lemma. ■

Now let

$$\|p\|_{a,\delta} := \sup_{\delta \leq x < \infty} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)| \quad (p \in \Pi_n, a, \delta > 0). \tag{47}$$

We need the following

LEMMA 8. (a) For all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $r, a, \delta > 0$  there exists a  $0 \neq s^* = s_{n,k,m,r,\delta}^* \in W_n^k(r)$  such that

$$\frac{|s^{*(m)}(0)|}{\|s^*\|_{a,\delta}} = \sup_{s \in W_n^k(r)} \frac{|s^{(m)}(0)|}{\|s\|_{a,\delta}}. \tag{48}$$

(b)  $s^*$  has at most  $m$  roots (counting multiplicities) in

$$D_4(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \mid |z - r| > r\}.$$

The proof is rather similar to that of Lemma 5 of [2], so we omit it.

Now let  $\bar{\delta} = 1/4n^2$ . Then using Markov's inequality (1) on  $[0, 1]$  (with  $m = 1$ ) and the mean value theorem, we easily obtain

$$\|p\|_a \leq 2e \|p\|_{a,\bar{\delta}} \quad (p \in \Pi_n). \tag{49}$$

From now on let  $s^* := s_{n,k,m,r,\bar{\delta}}^*$ . Then in the same way as in [2] (see (20)–(37) there), from Lemmas 7 and 8 and (49) we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |s^{*(m)}(0)| &\leq c_{25}(a, m)((k + 1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \\ &\quad \times \|s^*\|_{a,\bar{\delta}} \quad (M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2) \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

whence because of the maximality of  $s^*$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |s^{(m)}(0)| &\leq c_{25}(a, m)((k + 1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|s\|_{a,\bar{\delta}} \\ &\leq c_{25}(a, m)((k + 1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|s\|_a \\ &\quad (s \in W_n^k(r), M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Now observe that  $p \in W_n^k(r)$ ,  $y \in [0, r]$  imply  $s(x) := p(x + y) \in W_n^k(r/2)$ ; thus, applying (51) to  $s$  and using that  $x^a + y^a \geq (x + y)^a$  ( $x, y \geq 0$ ,  $0 < a \leq 1$ ) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |p^{(m)}(y)| &= |s^{(m)}(0)| \\ &\leq c_{26}(a, m)((k + 1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \|s\|_a \\ &\leq c_{26}(a, m)((k + 1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \exp(y^a) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{x \geq 0} |p(x + y) \exp(-(x + y)^a)| \\ &\leq c_{26}(a, m)((k + 1)^2 L_n(a, r))^m \exp(y^a) \|p\|_a \\ &\quad (p \in W_n^k(r), 0 \leq y \leq r, M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

This together with Lemma 1 yields the theorem, when  $M^{-2} \leq r \leq M^2$ . If  $0 < r \leq M^{-2}$ , then Theorem 1 gives the desired result. If  $M^2 < r < \infty$ , then the relation  $W_n^k(r) \subset W_n^k(M^2)$  and the just proved part of the theorem yield the statement.

*Case 3.*  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ . Now Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2. ■

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We shall use the following infinite-finite range inequality,

$$\|f\|_a \leq c_{27}(a) \max_{0 \leq y \leq c_{28}(a)n^{1/a}} |f(y) \exp(-y^a)| \quad (f \in \Pi_{2n}, 0 < a < \infty), \quad (53)$$

with suitable  $c_{27}(a)$ ,  $c_{28}(a) \geq 1$ . By using the substitutions  $y = x^2$  and  $b = 2a$  this is an obvious consequence of the analogous result for the interval  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and weight function  $\exp(-|x|^b)$  ( $b > 0$ ); see [7, Theorem A] or [10, Lemma 6.3]. To prove the sharpness of Theorem 2 when  $k = 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , and  $0 < a \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , we distinguish three cases.

*Case 1.*  $0 < r \leq (\pi/4m) c_{28}(a)n^{1/a}$  if  $1 \leq a < \infty$ , or  $0 < r \leq (\pi/4m)n^{2-1/a}$  if  $\frac{1}{2} < a$ . Let

$$x_j = \left( \frac{c_{28}(a)}{2} n^{1/a} - \frac{4m}{\pi} r \right) \cos \frac{(2n-2j+1)\pi}{2n} + \frac{c_{28}(a)}{2} n^{1/a} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n), \quad (54)$$

$$z_j = x_j + ir \quad (1 \leq j \leq n), \quad (55)$$

and

$$s(x) = s_{n,m,r,a}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x - z_j)(x - \bar{z}_j) \in W_n^0(r). \quad (56)$$

By Lemma 3 of [1] and (53) we easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |s(0)| &= \max_{0 \leq x \leq c_{28}(a)n^{1/a}} |s(x)| \\ &\geq \max_{0 \leq x \leq c_{28}(a)n^{1/a}} |s(x) \exp(-x^a)| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_{27}(a)} \|s\|_a. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

So using the notation  $q(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x - x_j)$ , (54)–(57), and the assumption of this case, by a simple calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|s^{(m)}(0)|}{\|s\|_a} &\geq \frac{1}{c_{27}(a)} \frac{|s^{(m)}(0)|}{|s(0)|} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{c_{27}(a)} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{4m}\right)^{-m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{|q^{(m)}(0)|}{|q(0)|} \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{ec_{27}(a)} \left(\sum_{j=m}^n \frac{1}{1-x_j}\right)^m \\ &\geq c_{29}(a, m)(L_n(a, r))^m \quad (1 \leq m \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.  $(\pi/4m) c_{28}(a)n^{1/a} < r < \infty, a \geq 1$ . Now the polynomials  $s_{n,m,r,a} = x^n$  show that Theorem 2 is sharp when  $k=0$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

Case 3.  $(\pi/4m) c_{28}(a)n^{2-1/a} \leq r < \infty$  if  $\frac{1}{2} < a < 1$  or  $0 < r < \infty$  if  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ . Now the polynomials  $s_{n,m,r,a} = x$  give the desired result. ■

Of course the sharpness of Theorem 2 when  $k=0, 1 \leq m \leq n$ , and  $0 < a \neq \frac{1}{2}$  implies the sharpness of Theorem 1 as well.

Note 2. Theorem 2 and the examples of Theorem 3 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{29}(a, r)(L_n(a, r))^m \leq \sup \frac{\|s^{(m)}\|_a}{\|s\|_a} \leq c_3(a, m)(L_n(a, r))^m \\ (0 \leq r < \infty, 1 \leq m \leq n, 0 < a \neq \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

holds not only in the case when the supremum is taken for all polynomials from  $W_n^0(r)$ , but for all polynomials from  $II_n$  having all their zeros in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} z| \geq r\}$ .

Proof of Theorem 4. We need

LEMMA 9. (a) For each  $n \geq 1, r \geq 0, a, \delta > 0$ , and  $0 \leq y \leq r$  there exists a polynomial  $p^* = p_{n,r,a,\delta,y}^* \in V_n^0(r)$  such that

$$\frac{|p^{*'}(y)|}{\|p^*\|_{a,\delta,y}} = \sup_{p \in V_n^0(r)} \frac{|p'(y)|}{\|p\|_{a,\delta,y}}, \tag{58}$$

where  $\|p\|_{a,\delta,y} := \sup_{[0,\infty) \setminus (y-\delta, y+\delta)} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)|$ .

(b)  $p^*$  has all but at most one root in  $[0, r] \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z = r\}$ , and the remaining (at most one) root is in  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

The proof of this lemma is rather similar to that of Lemma 5 of [2], so we omit the details.

It is easy to see that for all  $a > 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $y \geq 0$  there exists a  $0 < \delta = \delta(a, n, y) < 1$  such that

$$\|p\|_a \leq 2 \|p\|_{a, \delta, y} \quad \text{for all } p \in \Pi_n. \tag{59}$$

By Lemma 9  $p^* \in V_n^0(r)$  satisfying (58) with  $\delta = \delta$  is of the form

$$p^*(x) = (x - x_0)^\alpha \prod_{v=1}^\beta (x - x_v) \left( \sum_{j=0}^\gamma a_j (x - r)^{2j} \right), \tag{60}$$

where

$$x_0 \in (-\infty, 0), \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \text{or } \alpha = 1, \tag{61}$$

$$x_v \in [0, r] \quad (1 \leq v \leq \beta) \tag{62}$$

$$a_j \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq j \leq \gamma) \tag{63}$$

and

$$\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \leq n. \tag{64}$$

Let

$$I_1 = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq j \leq \gamma, \beta + 2j < 2(4r + 1)^a\}, \tag{65}$$

$$I_2 = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq j \leq \gamma, \beta + 2j \geq (4r + 1)^a\}, \tag{66}$$

and

$$p_j(x) := (x - x_0)^\alpha \prod_{v=1}^\beta (x - x_v) a_j (x - r)^{2j} \quad (0 \leq j \leq \gamma). \tag{67}$$

By (60), (65), (66), and (67) we have

$$p^* = f_1 + f_2, \tag{68}$$

where

$$f_1 := \sum_{j \in I_1} p_j \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 := \sum_{j \in I_2} p_j. \tag{69}$$

By (67), (61), (62), and (66) for  $j \in I_2$  and  $0 \leq y \leq r$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|p'_j(y) \exp(-y^a)|}{|p_j(4r + 1) \exp(-(4r + 1)^a)|} \\ & \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2j) 3^{1 - \beta - 2j} \exp((4r + 1)^a) \\ & \leq 3(1 + \beta + 2j) 3^{-(\beta + 2j)/2} \exp((4r + 1)^a - (\beta + 2j)/2) \leq c_{30}. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$



Thus from (67), (69), (70), (68), (63), (59), and  $0 < \tilde{\delta} < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |f'_2(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq c_{30} |f_2(4r+1) \exp(-(4r+1)^a)| \\ &\leq c_{30} |p^*(4r+1) \exp(-(4r+1)^a)| \\ &\leq c_{30} \|p^*\|_{a, \tilde{\delta}, y} \quad (0 \leq y \leq r). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

By (69), (65), and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $f_1$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $l := \min\{[2(4r+1)^\alpha + 1], n\}$ , so using Theorem 1, (63), (68), and (59) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f'_1(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq c_2(a, 1) K_l(a, r) \|f_1\|_a \\ &\leq c_{31}(a) G_n(a, r) \|f_1\|_a \\ &\leq c_{31}(a) G_n(a, r) \|p^*\|_a \\ &\leq 2c_{31}(a) G_n(a, r) \|p^*\|_{a, \tilde{\delta}, y} \quad (0 < a < \infty, 0 \leq y < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

From (68), (71), and (72) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |p^{*'}(y) \exp(-y^a)| \\ \leq c_{32}(a) G_n(a, r) \|p^*\|_{a, \tilde{\delta}, y} \quad (0 < a < \infty, 0 \leq y \leq r); \end{aligned}$$

hence the maximality of  $p^*$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq c_{32}(a) G_n(a, r) \|p\|_{a, \tilde{\delta}, y} \\ &\leq c_{32}(a) G_n(a, r) \|p\|_a \\ &\quad (p \in V_n^0(r), 0 < a < \infty, 0 \leq y \leq r). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Now let  $p \in V_n^0(r)$  and  $z \in [0, \infty)$  be arbitrary. Applying (73) with  $y=0$  to  $\tilde{p}(x) := p(x+z) \in V_n^0(r)$  and using the inequality  $(x+z)^\alpha \leq x^\alpha + z^\alpha$  ( $x, z \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(z) \exp(-z^a)| &= |\tilde{p}'(0) \exp(-z^a)| \\ &\leq c_{32}(a) G_n(a, r) \|\tilde{p}\|_a \exp(-z^a) \\ &\leq c_{32}(a) G_n(a, r) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{0 \leq x < \infty} |p(x+z) \exp(-(x+z)^a)| \\ &\leq c_{32}(a) G_n(a, r) \|p\|_a \\ &\quad (p \in V_n^0(r), 0 \leq z < \infty, 0 < a \leq 1). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

If  $p \in V_n^0(r)$  and  $r \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2} n^{1/a}$ , then (cf. (5))  $s := pq_{n,y} \in \Pi_{(2[a]+1)_n}$  has all its zeros outside the circle with diameter  $[y, n^{1/a}]$ ; thus  $s$  is of the form

$$s(x) = \sum_{v=0}^d b_v (x-y)^v (n^{1/a} - x)^{d-v}$$

with  $b_v \geq 0$  ( $1 \leq v \leq d$ ) and  $d = (2[a] + 1)n$ ; thus a theorem of G. G. Lorentz (see Theorem A of [6]) and (5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |s'(y)| &\leq \frac{c_{33}(a)n}{n^{1/a} - y} \max_{y \leq x \leq n^{1/a}} |s(x)| \\ &\leq c_{34}(a)n^{1-1/a} \max_{y \leq x \leq n^{1/a}} |p(x) \exp(-x^a)| \\ &\leq c_{34}(a)n^{1-1/a} \|p\|_a \quad (1 \leq a < \infty, r \leq y \leq \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a}). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Hence and from (7)

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq |s'(y)| + |p(y) q'_{n,y}(y)| \\ &\leq c_{35}(a)n^{1-1/a} \|p\|_a \\ &\quad (p \in V_n^0(r), 1 \leq a < \infty, r \leq y \leq \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a}). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(y) \exp(-y^a)| &\leq c_{36}(a) \frac{n^{1-1/(2a)}}{\sqrt{y}} \|p\|_a \\ &\leq c_{37}(a)n^{1-1/a} \|p\|_a \\ &\quad (p \in \Pi_n, \tfrac{1}{2} < a < \infty, \tfrac{1}{2}n^{1/a} \leq y < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Finally we have

$$\|p'\|_a \leq c_{38}(a) \|p\|_a \quad (p \in \Pi_n, 0 < a < \tfrac{1}{2}) \quad (78)$$

(see Theorem 2 of [11] and Theorem 1). Now (73), (74), (76), (77), and (78) yield the theorem when  $m = 1$ . From this, using Gauss' theorem, by induction on  $m$  we immediately obtain the desired result for all  $m \geq 1$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Let  $T_k(x) = \cos(k \arccos x)$  be the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $k$  and let

$$R := \min\{r, n^{1/a}\}, \quad a > \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad (79)$$

$$p_k(x) := T_k\left(\frac{2x}{R} - 1\right) \in V_n^0(r), \quad (80)$$

where

$$k := \left\lceil \frac{R^a}{c_{28}(a)^a} \right\rceil \leq n \quad (81)$$

with  $c_{28}(a) \geq 1$  defined by (53). Then using (53), (79), (80), and (81), by a simple calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k^{(m)}\|_a &\geq |P_k^{(m)}(0)| \\
&\geq c_{38}(m) \left(\frac{2k^2}{R}\right)^m \max_{0 \leq x \leq R} |p_k(x)| \\
&\geq c_{39}(a, m)(k^{2-1/a})^m \max_{0 \leq x \leq c_{28(a)}k^{1/a}} |p_k(x)| \\
&\geq c_{40}(a, m)(1 + \min\{r^{2a-1}, n^{2-1/a}\})^m \|p_k\|_a \\
&\quad (\tfrac{1}{2} < a < \infty, k \geq m + 1). \tag{82}
\end{aligned}$$

Further, for the polynomials  $P_n(x) := x^n \in V_n^0(0) \subset V_n^0(r)$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) we have

$$\|P_n^{(m)}\|_a \geq \begin{cases} c_{41}(a, m)(n^{1-1/a})^m \|P_n\|_a & (1 \leq a < \infty, n \geq m + 1) \\ c_{42}(a, m) \|P_n\|_a & (0 < a < \infty, n = m + 1). \end{cases} \tag{83}$$

Now (82) and (83) give the desired result. ■

#### REFERENCES

1. R. J. DUFFIN AND A. C. SCHAEFFER, A refinement of an inequality of the brothers Markoff, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (1941), 517–528.
2. T. ERDÉLYI, Markov type estimates for certain classes of constrained polynomials, *Constructive Approximation*, in press.
3. T. ERDÉLYI, Markov type estimates for the derivatives of polynomials with restricted zeros, submitted for publication.
4. G. FREUD, Markov–Bernstein inequalities in  $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ , in “Approximation Theory II” (G. G. Lorentz *et al.*, Eds), pp. 369–377, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
5. A. L. LEVIN AND D. S. LUBINSKY, Canonical products and the weights  $\exp(-|x|^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  with applications, *J. Approx. Theory* **49** (1987), 149–169.
6. G. G. LORENTZ, The degree of approximation by polynomials with positive coefficients, *Math. Ann.* **151** (1963), 239–251.
7. D. S. LUBINSKY, A weighted polynomial inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1984), 263–267.
8. A. MARKOFF, Sur une question posée par Mendeleieff, *Bull. Acad. Sci. St. Petersburg* **62** (1882), 1–24.
9. W. MARKOFF, Über Polynome, die in einen gegebenen Intervale möglichst wenig von Null abweichen, *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 231–258. [The original appeared in Russian in 1892.]
10. H. N. MHASKAR AND E. B. SAFF, Extremal problems for polynomials with exponential weights, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), 203–234.
11. P. NEVAJ AND V. TOTIK, Weighted polynomial inequalities, *Constructive Approx.* **2** (1986), 113–127.
12. G. SZEGÖ, On some problems of approximation, *MTA Mat. Kut. Int. Közli.* **9** (1964), 3–9.